1 Mutation and cell state compatibility is required and targetable in Ph+ acute

2 lymphoblastic leukemia minimal residual disease

3

Peter S. Winter^{1,2,3,4,5,*,#}, Michelle L. Ramseier^{1,2,3,4,6,*}, Andrew W. Navia^{1,2,3,4,6,*}, Sachit 4 5 Saksena^{7,8}, Haley Strouf¹, Nezha Senhaji⁵, Alan DenAdel^{9,10}, Mahnoor Mirza¹, Hyun Hwan An⁵, 6 Laura Bilal¹, Peter Dennis⁵, Catharine S. Leahy⁵, Kay Shigemori⁵, Jennyfer Galves-Reyes^{1,2,3,4,6}, Ye Zhang^{1,11}, Foster Powers⁵, Nolawit Mulugeta^{1,2,3,4,6}, Alejandro J. Gupta¹, Nicholas Calistri¹, 7 Alex Van Scovk⁵, Kristen Jones⁵, Huivun Liu⁵, Kristen E. Stevenson¹², Sivang Ren¹², Marlise R. 8 Luskin^{5,13}, Charles P. Couturier^{1,2,3,4,6}, Ava P. Amini¹⁴, Srivatsan Raghavan^{4,5,13}, Robert J. 9 Kimmerling¹, Mark M. Stevens¹, Lorin Crawford^{9,10,14}, David M. Weinstock^{5,13,15}, Scott R. 10 Manalis^{1,4,11±}. Alex K. Shalek^{1,2,3,4,6,±,#}. and Mark A. Murakami^{5,13,±,#} 11 12 13 ¹Koch Institute for Integrative Cancer Research, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA 14 ²Institute for Medical Engineering & Science, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA 15 ³Department of Chemistry, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA 16 ⁴Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA

- 17 ⁵Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA
- 18 ⁶Ragon Institute of MGH, MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA
- 19 ⁷Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA
- 20 ⁸Computational and Systems Biology Program, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA
- ⁹Center for Computational Molecular Biology, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA
- ¹⁰Department of Biostatistics, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA
- ¹¹Department of Biological Engineering, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA
- 24 ¹²Department of Data Sciences, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA USA
- ¹³Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
- 26 ¹⁴Microsoft Research, Cambridge, MA, USA
- 27 ¹⁵Current Address: Merck and Co., Rahway, NJ, USA
- 28 *Co-first authors
- 29 ±Co-senior Authors
- 30 #To whom correspondence should be addressed:
- 31 Peter S. Winter Ph.D., <u>pwinter@broadinstitute.org</u>
- 32 Alex K. Shalek, Ph.D., <u>shalek@mit.e</u>du
- 33 Mark A. Murakami, M.D., <u>mark_murakami@dfci.harvard.ed</u>u

34 SUMMARY

35 Efforts to cure BCR::ABL1 B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Ph+ ALL) solely through 36 inhibition of ABL1 kinase activity have thus far been insufficient despite the availability of tyrosine 37 kinase inhibitors (TKIs) with broad activity against resistance mutants. The mechanisms that 38 drive persistence within minimal residual disease (MRD) remain poorly understood and therefore 39 untargeted. Utilizing 13 patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models and clinical trial specimens of 40 Ph+ ALL, we examined how genetic and transcriptional features co-evolve to drive progression 41 during prolonged TKI response. Our work reveals a landscape of cooperative mutational and 42 transcriptional escape mechanisms that differ from those causing resistance to first generation 43 TKIs. By analyzing MRD during remission, we show that the same resistance mutation can either 44 increase or decrease cellular fitness depending on transcriptional state. We further demonstrate 45 that directly targeting transcriptional state-associated vulnerabilities at MRD can overcome 46 BCR::ABL1 independence, suggesting a new paradigm for rationally eradicating MRD prior to 47 relapse. Finally, we illustrate how cell mass measurements of leukemia cells can be used to 48 rapidly monitor dominant transcriptional features of Ph+ ALL to help rationally guide therapeutic 49 selection from low-input samples.

50

51 KEYWORDS:

52 Cell State, Mutations, Ph+ B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia, Minimal Residual Disease,
53 Biophysical Measurements

54

59

55 HIGHLIGHTS:

- Relapse after remission on TKI can harbor mutations in ABL1, RAS, or neither
- Mutations and development-like cell state dictate fitness in residual disease
- Co-targeting cell state and ABL1 markedly reduces MRD
 - Biophysical measurements provide an integrative, rapid measurement of cell state

60 INTRODUCTION

61 A large fraction of patients with cancer achieve complete remission at some point during their 62 course of therapy, either through surgery, chemotherapy, radiation, or a combination thereof. 63 Nevertheless, many of these patients relapse or progress owing to a small pool of remaining 64 cancer cells commonly referred to as minimal residual disease (MRD). This is even true for 65 cancers with clear, targetable oncogene dependencies such as BCR::ABL1-rearranged B cell 66 acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Ph+ ALL). Despite highly effective tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) 67 with potent activity against multiple resistance-conferring point mutations in BCR::ABL1, relapse during single-agent treatment is nearly universal.^{1,2,3} Unfortunately, accumulating evidence casts 68 doubt on the potential for up-front combinations of next-generation TKIs to fully overcome 69 70 subclonal heterogeneity and thereby eradicate MRD.⁴

71 While most patients with BCR::ABL1-driven disease relapse with kinase domain 72 mutations, 30-40% of patients progress with BCR::ABL1-independent mechanisms that are 73 poorly understood.⁵ Previous studies have identified developmental heterogeneity across ALL,^{6,7} as well as in Ph+ ALL specifically.^{8,9} This developmental heterogeneity has also been linked to 74 75 treatment response for multiple classes of inhibitors.^{6,7,10} Recent work specifically in Ph+ ALL 76 examined developmental subtypes that align with earlier (Early-Pro) and later developmental 77 (Late-Pro) B cell features, finding that the former was associated with poor overall survival upon 78 treatment with the first-generation TKI imatinib.⁸ Commitment to earlier or later stages of 79 development has been associated with cooperating alterations in lineage-defining transcription 80 factors (EBF1 deletion or deletions in IKZF1, PAX5, and CDKN2A, respectively), suggesting that 81 developmental state adherence – and its associated therapeutic response – may be mutationally driven and static upon leukemic transformation.^{8,9} However, other studies have nominated the 82 83 potential for a leukemia's dominant developmental states to shift in response to therapeutic 84 pressure. Illustratively, non-mutational mechanisms of chemotherapy resistance have been 85 observed in ALL patient-derived xenografts (PDXs), whereby leukemia cells transiently adopt a dormant, stem-like state at MRD;¹¹ others have demonstrated post-treatment shifts in the 86 87 abundance of dormant subpopulations mimicking earlier developmental stages.⁷ It has also been 88 suggested that TKI-resistant Ph+ ALL cells in a later developmental state proliferate by activating 89 signaling that typically occurs downstream of the pre-B cell receptor (pre-BCR), despite the 90 absence of a functionally expressed pre-BCR in Ph+ ALL.^{10,12} It remains unclear which attributes 91 allow for persistence during remission and if mutational or developmental phenotypes are the 92 dominant drivers of resistance.

93 Accordingly, resistance to ABL1 TKIs is multifactorial and extends beyond ABL1 resistance mutations, suggesting that informed strategies to convert deep remissions into cures 94 95 may require incorporating orthogonal measurements of the non-genetic determinants of cellular state (e.g. via single-cell transcriptomics).^{13,14,15} However, there are limited studies describing 96 97 how mutations participate (or clash) with these additional cellular features to drive persistence 98 and clonal expansion under TKI pressure. Though recent evidence from our group and others 99 indicates that some mutations are enriched in specific transcriptional backgrounds.^{10,16,17,18,19} the 100 relative importance of mutational and transcriptional drivers to MRD persistence and relapse is 101 not known. Furthermore, there are significant technical challenges associated with isolating and 102 profiling rare residual cells that have limited their characterization largely to mutational profiling - a problem affecting essentially all cancer types.^{13,20,21} While MRD enumeration and mutational 103 104 monitoring have been used to some clinical benefit,^{22,23,24} the translational utility of understanding 105 non-mutational attributes from these rare cells has yet to be demonstrated.²¹ These constraints, 106 coupled with the heterogeneity among MRD phenotypes both within and between patients, have 107 historically made it difficult to nominate specific therapeutic strategies to combat MRD. We and 108 others previously proposed that direct interrogation of MRD cells to identify dependencies for 109 individual patients could offer clinical benefit if approaches existed to define those dependences 110 in "real-time".^{21,25} This would require a rapid strategy applicable to individual cells that could 111 distinguish patients most likely to respond to one of several available therapeutic options.

Here, to better understand how both mutational and transcriptional variation coordinate to drive relapse within MRD, we defined the biology of Ph+ ALL cells at different stages of treatment and across a diversity of models and human patients. We reveal unique and targetable characteristics of Ph+ ALL MRD and nominate combination strategies to eradicate residual disease.

117

118 **RESULTS**

119 Modeling disease kinetics in response to combination TKI in Ph+ ALL PDX models

Although treatment with allosteric BCR::ABL1 inhibitors drives deep remissions in patients, nearly all will relapse if not consolidated with allogeneic stem cell transplantation. The recent development of asciminib (ABL001), an allosteric inhibitor of BCR::ABL1,²⁶ created the first opportunity to address whether dual inhibition of BCR::ABL1 could eradicate Ph+ leukemias (**Figure 1A**). We combined orthosteric (ponatinib; 40 mg/kg/day) and allosteric (asciminib; 30 mg/kg/day) inhibitors in a diverse cohort of Ph+ ALL PDX models (n=13 models;²⁷ 190 mice total) to assess how pre-existing clinical and molecular features would dictate response to

127 sustained oncogene withdrawal within a statistically powered, phase II-like preclinical trial 128 (Figures 1A & 1B; see Methods and Tables S1 & S2). All mice receiving ponatinib or 129 combination therapy, and 92% of subjects receiving asciminib monotherapy who survived 130 beyond one week achieved complete remission (CR), corroborating the dependence of these 131 leukemias on BCR::ABL1 (Figures S1A & S1B). The durations of remission with ponatinib-132 based regimens exceeded those of asciminib monotherapy, but we observed no difference 133 between the combination and ponatinib monotherapy arms (p=0.70; Figures 1C & S1C). 134 Notably, survival outcomes between mice on each treatment arm did not correlate with PDX line 135 characteristics associated with inferior treatment response in other contexts, such as increased 136 prior lines of therapy,²⁸ *IKZF1* deletion,²⁹ and pre-existing *ABL1* resistance mutations (**Figure S1D**).^{2,30} All mice were ultimately euthanized, either for disease progression or clinical toxicity. 137 138 Even the 7 mice euthanized for clinical toxicity after achieving a durable response - three of 139 whom maintained CR for >12 months on study (Figure S1B) - harbored residual ALL in the 140 bone marrow and/or spleen when sacrificed. These data demonstrate that while single-agent 141 ponatinib and combination therapy confer deep and prolonged clinical remissions, BCR::ABL1 142 inhibition alone was insufficient to fully eradicate human leukemias in vivo.

143

144 Divergent mutational patterns upon oncogene inhibition in Ph+ B-ALL

145 To chart landscapes of genetic resistance to single agent and combination TKI in Ph+ ALL, we 146 sequenced 142 PDX samples (74 trial and 68 other TKI-treated leukemias) and examined 147 patterns of acquisition within known driver mutations in ALL across multiple phases of treatment 148 (Figure S2A; Table S3; see Methods). In general, alterations in ABL1 or RAS pathway genes 149 consistently emerged upon therapeutic pressure compared to mutations affecting B cell survival, 150 lineage commitment, or cell cycle control (Figures S2A & S2B). Of relapsed leukemias, 35% 151 harbored mutations in BCR::ABL1, frequently compound mutations involving T315I plus at least 152 one other high-level resistance mutation (e.g., Y253H, F311L, F359V) or an activating mutation 153 in STAT5A (collectively termed 'ABL pathway' mutations). A separate 24% relapsed with 154 activating mutations in RAS pathway genes – specifically KRAS, NRAS, BRAF, and/or PTPN11 155 - representing emergent alternate pathway utilization in these oncogene-addicted leukemias 156 (Figures 1D & S2C). Acquisition of driver pathway mutations was influenced by treatment arm 157 - mice treated with asciminib predominantly acquired ABL pathway mutations at relapse, mice 158 treated with ponatinib predominantly acquired RAS pathway mutations, and mice on the dual-159 treatment arm acquired mutations on either ABL or RAS pathways (Figure S2B). Samples 160 harboring RAS pathway mutations were mutually exclusive with those involving ABL pathway

161 mutations within each PDX line at both pretreatment and progression time points (Figure 1E).
162 The remaining tumors (41%) harbored no driver mutations in either ABL or RAS pathway genes,
163 and the majority of these (74%) had no apparent genetic lesions explaining phenotypic
164 resistance by whole exome sequencing (Figures 1D, S2A, & S2C). These data suggest three
165 recurrent patterns for resistance whereby leukemias progress on therapy with either ABL
166 pathway, RAS pathway, or no discernible gain-of-function mutations.

167

168 Ph+ ALL leukemic cells are defined by hybrid developmental states

169 Given the lack of discernible mutation-driven resistance in a substantial fraction of our cohort 170 (Figures 1D & S2A), we hypothesized that resistance to single-agent or combination TKI in Ph+ 171 ALL may be understood best by characterizing both mutational and transcriptional state 172 heterogeneity. To this end, we applied single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) to define 173 transcriptional states in Ph+ ALL and identify leukemic phenotypes associated with progression. 174 Using Seq-Well S^{3,31} we generated a dataset of 42,667 single-cell transcriptomes from 52 175 samples spanning 11 PDX lines from our phase II-like pre-clinical trial and 5 patients on a clinical 176 trial testing dasatinib (a second-generation orthosteric BCR::ABL1 inhibitor) plus asciminib for 177 previously untreated Ph+ ALL (Figure 2A; NCT02081378; see Methods). We then performed 178 consensus non-negative matrix factorization (cNMF) over each leukemia in this dataset to 179 identify intratumoral gene expression programs (GEPs; Methods). Hierarchical clustering of the 180 126 GEPs defined across individual leukemias revealed 7 shared patterns (meta-GEPs, or 181 "mGEPs") of covarying gene programming that were present in at least 8 samples (Figures 2B, 182 2C & S3A; Table S4). Two mGEPs were defined by genes associated with active stages of the 183 cell cycle (e.g., CENPF, MKI67, MCM6, E2F2) and another mGEP specifically associated with 184 MYC activity (e.g., HSP90AB1, NME1). The remaining four mGEPs associated with various 185 stages of B cell development, either containing Pro-B cell genes (e.g., DNTT, CSGALNACT1), 186 genes associated with later stages of B cell development - i.e., Pre-BII (e.g., CD38, IRF4), and 187 Immature B (e.g., CD79A, HLA-DPB1) – or progenitor-associated genes co-expressed with 188 Immature B genes (e.g., CD44, CSF1R and HLA-DQA1, IRF8). These data suggest that aspects 189 of normal B cell development are captured as major axes of intratumoral transcriptional variation 190 in Ph+ ALL.

In several cases, genes defining multiple B lineage developmental stages were enriched
 in the same GEP and co-expressed within individual leukemia cells (Figures S3A & S3B).^{7,32}
 We next sought to better understand these stage-specific "hybrid" expression patterns by
 utilizing a supervised machine learning approach to resolve the relationship between leukemia

195 cells and nonmalignant B cell development. To enable this comparison, we first generated a 196 reference dataset of human hematopoiesis from the bone marrow aspirates of healthy donors 197 (n=7), profiling both sorted and unsorted fractions to ensure the proper B cell developmental 198 populations were captured (Figures S4A & S4B: see Methods). By performing iterative clustering, we identified 13 cell types spanning the HSC progenitor, myeloid, erythroid, and 199 200 lymphoid lineages (n = 13,643 cells; Figures S4C & S4D); each cell type population contained 201 cells from at least 6 of 7 donors (Figures S4E & S4F). To enable leukemic cell reference 202 mapping and comparison, we trained a random-forest (RF) classifier on the cell type-labeled 203 reference scRNA-seq dataset using 10-fold cross-validation (Figures 2D & S5A; see Methods). 204 We ensured this model was cueing on biologically-relevant expression patterns by using 205 permutation tests to identify the top 200 features needed to accurately classify single-cell 206 transcriptomes, as well as testing its accuracy on an external scRNA-seg dataset (Figures S5B 207 & S5C).¹⁶ We then assigned individual B-ALL cells to their most likely developmental state using 208 our RF classifier (Figure 2D). Across all malignant cells, the RF model assigned highest 209 classification probabilities for the Pro-B cell type, followed by Pre-BI, Pre-BI, HSC, and 210 Immature B cell types (Figures 2E & 2F): 1% of leukemia cells that classified into non-B lineage 211 cell types, such as T cells, were poor quality and removed from downstream analyses (Figure 212 S5D).

213 Corroborating our observations with NMF, marker genes that were restricted to individual 214 stages of B cell development in healthy cells were routinely co-expressed in leukemia cells 215 (Figure 2G). For example, within leukemic cells classified as Pro-B, we observed a dominant 216 secondary RF classification probability for an earlier (HSC) or later (Pre-BI, Pre-BII, Immature 217 B) stage of B cell development. We therefore characterized the transcriptional heterogeneity in 218 Ph+ ALL as a continuum of hybrid states according to their non-Pro-B RF classification 219 probability (Figure 2H). This revealed transcriptionally hybrid populations with underlying ProB-220 like gene-expression, co-expressed with either progenitor-like genes (HSC-hyb) or genes implicated in later developmental phenotypes (PreB-hyb or ImmatureB-hyb) (Figures S6A-C). 221 222 Genes correlated with these prediction probabilities reflected markers of earlier and later stages 223 of B cell development (Figures S5E & S6A: Table S5), and largely agreed with our unbiased 224 NMF results (Figures S3A & S3C). All three hybrid populations were characterized by predicted 225 utilization of canonical transcription factors (TFs) active in the healthy reference cell subsets 226 (e.g., CREB1, MYC in HSC-hyb; E2F2, FOXM1 in PreB-hyb; IRF4, FOXO3, CIITA in ImmatureB-227 hyb), as well as aberrant TF activity (e.g., IRF1, STAT1 in ImmatureB-hyb; Figure S6D; see 228 Methods). Thus, anomalous co-expression of stage-associated genes in both primary patient

samples and PDX models defines a hybrid development-like continuum in Ph+ ALL and
 implicates promiscuous, but still coherent, developmental transcriptional states.

231

Hybrid development states are associated with treatment response and restricted mutation acquisition

234 We next asked whether shifts in this hybrid development-like continuum associated with 235 resistance to combination TKI. Overall, progression samples were characterized by decreased 236 hybrid population diversity, suggesting a restriction toward a single hybrid state (Figure 3A). 237 Differential expression analysis across all PDX tumors revealed genes included in the ProB-like 238 (e.g., SOCS2, DNTT) and HSC-hyb (e.g., CD34, ID2, CD99) signatures enriched at pre-239 treatment while genes implicated in the more mature PreB-hyb (e.g., TCL1A, VPREB3, IGLL1) 240 and ImmatureB-hyb (e.g., MS4A1, CD74, HLA-DRB1) signatures were up-regulated at 241 progression, implicating a shift into later developmental stages (Figure 3B). However, not all 242 PDX models shifted toward more mature hybrid transcriptional states at progression. DFAB-243 25157, which progressed with mutations in ABL1 (Figure S2C; Table S3), remained dominated 244 by ProB-like and HSC-hyb states at both pretreatment and progression compared to other PDX 245 lines (Figures 3C & S7A). Leukemias that progressed with RAS pathway mutations either 246 contained a majority of cells expressing PreB-hyb and ImmatureB-hyb signatures at both pre-247 treatment and progression (CBAB-30198, DFAB-54880), or increased proportions of malignant 248 cells with high PreB- and ImmatureB-hyb gene expression at progression (DFAB-62208; Figure 249 **S2C; Table S3**). Notably, the two PDX lines that progressed with neither ABL nor RAS pathway 250 mutations (CBAB-75914, CBAB-12402; Figure S2C; Table S3) demonstrated the strongest 251 shifts toward more mature hybrid developmental bins.

252 This enrichment for more mature phenotypes at progression was a strong departure from 253 patterns seen in Ph+ ALL treated with chemotherapy¹¹ or imatinib,⁸ where progression on 254 therapy was driven by less mature or stem-like cells. We sought corroborating evidence for this observation in our PDX trial samples using standard immunophenotyping approaches (Figure 255 256 **S7B**; see **Methods**). Mirroring the transcriptional data, most pre-treatment leukemias harbored 257 multiple subpopulations across the B cell developmental trajectory and showed a similar 258 restriction in developmental state diversity at progression (Figures 3D & S7C). These 259 immunophenotyping data also corroborated the overall enrichment of more developmentally 260 mature phenotypes at progression (Figure S7C), specifically the predominance of more mature 261 CD34-negative developmental phenotypes in leukemias that progressed with RAS pathway

262 mutations or no mutations (p<0.001 from Dirichlet regression for both mutation group 263 comparisons to ABL pathway-mutated leukemias; **Figures 3E, 3F & S7D**).

264 We next sought direct clinical evidence for the relevance of developmentally-hybrid 265 programs in resistance to combination TKI. We prospectively collected serial single-cell 266 measurements from the bone marrow of 2 patients (n=5 individual samples, n=7,649 cells; 267 Figure 3G; Table S6) enrolled on a phase 1 trial testing dasatinib in combination with asciminib 268 and prednisone. Clinical activity was assessed by the reduction in bone marrow BCR::ABL1 269 mRNA transcript levels after three cycles of treatment (day 85; NCT02081378). Samples from 270 patient BIAB-16768 maintained a predominant population of ProB-like malignant cells over the 271 course of treatment and entered remission before 85 days of treatment (3-log reduction in bone marrow BCR::ABL1 detected by qRT-PCR). By contrast, samples from patient DFAB-71417 272 273 rapidly shifted toward later developmental hybrid states (PreB-hyb and ImmatureB-hyb) by day 274 28 on therapy and failed to respond by day 85 (1-log reduction in bone marrow BCR::ABL1 275 detected by gRT-PCR; Figures 3G & 3H). Combined with our PDX analysis, these results 276 provide preliminary evidence that more mature developmentally-hybrid expression programs 277 can drive resistance to dual ABL1 inhibition.

278

279 Longitudinal monitoring of cell state and mutational co-evolution

280 Collectively, our data nominate 3 potential routes of resistance to ABL1 inhibition in Ph+ ALL: 1) 281 mutational reactivation of ABL signaling in progenitor-like states, 2) mutational activation of RAS 282 signaling in later-stage hybrid states, or 3) transcriptional shifts toward later developmental 283 hybrid states without accompanying mutational alterations. To directly explore whether these 284 routes are recoverable at multiple timepoints during ABL1 inhibition, we next examined 285 genotype-phenotype co-evolution by profiling single cells from pre-treatment, MRD (21 days on 286 therapy), and progression in our PDX models, selecting individual leukemias that represent each 287 putative mechanism of resistance (Figure 4A; DFAB-25157, ABL1 reactivation; DFAB-62208, 288 RAS activation; CBAB-12402, no mutations). At each stage of therapy, we profiled leukemia 289 cells using SMART-Seg2 (SS2)-based scRNA-seg to increase information capture from low cell 290 numbers at remission and to facilitate matched single nucleotide variant (SNV) detection in the 291 same single cells. For these longitudinal studies, we treated mice with single agent ponatinib 292 (see **Methods**) since it performed equivalently to combination TKI therapy (Figure 1C) and is 293 directly relevant to treatment being used in patients.

First, we ensured the robustness of our RF hematopoietic developmental classifier on full-length, SS2 transcriptomes from both healthy (n = 421; same donors as **Figure S4**) and

296 leukemic cells (n = 3,641; Figure S8A; see Methods). Using our RF framework, we independently derived the leukemic cellular states in our SS2 dataset (Figures S8B-D; Table 297 298 **S7**), finding they highly correlated with our Seq-Well-derived hybrid phenotypes – specifically in 299 early progenitor (Progenitor-like vs. HSC-hvb) and more mature (PreB-like vs. PreB-hvb and 300 ImmatureB-hyb) leukemic cell states (Figure S8E). Given this coherence, hereafter we refer to 301 Progenitor-like and PreB-like SS2 programs as HSC-hyb and PreB-hyb respectively for 302 simplicity. We next detected mutated transcripts identified from bulk DNA sequencing within 303 individual cells from our SS2 data (Figure S9A; Table S3; see Methods). The number of 304 detected mutant transcripts in SS2 libraries was limited by the average expression of the 305 corresponding gene, with higher rates of detection for RAS pathway single-nucleotide variants 306 (SNVs; GNB1, NRAS, KRAS, PTPN11) compared to ABL pathway SNVs (ABL1, STAT5A) 307 (Figure S9B). For highly expressed target genes, however, the proportion of single cells 308 harboring mutations corresponded with the variant allele frequency measured in bulk 309 sequencing of the same tumor (Figure S9C; Table S3), highlighting that SS2 provides sufficient 310 SNV detection to capture the kinetics of RAS pathway mutations in our dataset. Furthermore, 311 single-cell profiling enabled highly sensitive detection of rare malignant cells harboring mutations 312 with less than 3% VAF from bulk sequencing, allowing comparisons of dominant and rare 313 subclones (Figure S9C). Finally, we identified copy number variations (CNVs) in the SS2 profiles 314 using inferCNV (see **Methods**). In combination with transcriptional state information, these data 315 provided a detailed, high-resolution picture of the co-evolution of mutational and transcriptional 316 heterogeneity in B-ALL single cells over the course of ponatinib treatment (Figures 4B & S9D-317 **F**).

318

319 Cell state dictates fitness and restricts growth of RAS-mutant cells in remission

320 Using this high-resolution dataset, we first evaluated changes in hybrid developmental state 321 frequency between pre-treatment and residual cells in each model during treatment with 322 ponatinib (Figure 4C). CBAB-12402 was transcriptionally dynamic and demonstrated a 323 significant shift towards a dominant PreB-hyb phenotype among MRD cells that was conserved 324 at progression, mirroring patterns seen in the larger PDX trial for this model (Figures 3C & S9F). 325 Transcriptional states in MRD and progression leukemia cells from DFAB-62208 displayed a 326 minor shift forward to stronger PreB-hyb expression compared to pre-treatment. DFAB-25157 327 was variable along the progenitor to mature phenotype continuum at both pretreatment and 328 progression, driven by dominant HSC-hyb gene expression. A subset of cells from this model

329 co-expressed HSC-hyb and PreB-hyb states in MRD, albeit at much lower levels than PreB-hyb
 330 scores in the other two models (Figures S9E-G).

331 Point mutations in NRAS and KRAS from the same leukemia cells revealed surprising 332 dynamics across PDX models and stages of therapy (Figure 4D). We detected very low 333 frequency RAS mutations in CBAB-12402 at pre-treatment that were not enriched at 334 progression, in agreement with bulk DNA sequencing data that did not identify actionable driver 335 mutations (Figure S2C; Table S3), thus implicating a "state-shift" only mechanism enabling 336 progression. DFAB-62208 also harbored low-frequency KRAS and NRAS point mutations at 337 pretreatment; a single NRAS-mutant, cycling cell was observed in remission and both KRAS-338 and NRAS-mutant clones expanded at progression (mirroring bulk sequencing data; Figure 339 **S2C:** Table S3), suggesting the preexisting PreB-hyb transcriptional state was permissive for 340 expansion of RAS-mutant clones. In DFAB-25157, we observed a significant increase in the 341 proportion of KRAS mutant malignant cells in MRD (3 of 6 mice at MRD harbored identifiable 342 RAS-mutant cells) compared to pretreatment leukemic cells, a finding we confirmed using bulk 343 DNA sequencing from a separate sample (**Table S3**; Mouse 4H0, KRAS AF 0.75). This was 344 surprising given that this model does not progress on therapy with emergent RAS mutations 345 (Figures 4D & S2C). Indeed, considering both single-cell CNV and SNV clones (Figures S9D 346 & S9E), we found no evidence of outright genetically-driven clonal selection in DFAB-25157 347 despite the enrichment of RAS-mutant cells in remission (Figure 4E). In this case, our data 348 suggest that RAS-family mutations in cells with a discordant developmental cell state permit 349 survival (or persistence) in the context of ABL inhibition but confer a fitness disadvantage that 350 suppresses their expansion.¹⁰

351 We next interrogated the single-cell transcriptomes of remission DFAB-25157 cells to 352 define mechanisms for this apparent state-genotype incompatibility. KRAS-mutant leukemic 353 cells from DFAB-25157 at MRD upregulated genes that positively regulate senescence (e.g., 354 CCL2, TOB1) and negatively regulate cell cycle (e.g., CDKN2A) compared to KRAS-mutant 355 leukemia cells from all other time points and PDX lines (Figure 4F). To evaluate how this 356 signature evolves over the course of therapy, we scored individual cells for these upregulated 357 senescence-associated genes (Senescence-like score: **Table S8**). KRAS-mutant clones with 358 similar senescence-like signatures were present at pretreatment in cells with co-incident HSC-359 hyb phenotypes, whereas PreB-hyb KRAS-mutant leukemia cells across other treatment stages 360 and PDX lines had low senescence-like scores (Figure S9G). These data suggest the fitness of 361 RAS mutant clones is influenced by the compatibility of transcriptional state and genotype: the 362 expression of senescence-implicated genes is restricted to HSC-hyb cells harboring RAS

363 mutations, whereas RAS-mutant PreB-hyb cells remain capable of entering the cell cycle 364 (**Figure 4G**). Therefore, despite activation of a mitogenic oncogene that contributes to resistance 365 to TKI in multiple contexts, developmental states restrict the expansion of these genotypes, 366 including during deep remissions.

367 As MRD genotypes alone could not predict clonal expansion driving progression, we 368 sought to identify what phenotypes persist in MRD and actively contribute to progression. We 369 binned each cell from MRD and progression into four fitness phenotypes based on their 370 expression of senescence-like and cell cycle scores (Figure 4H). To our surprise, progression 371 contained a significant accumulation of putatively cell cycle-arrested cells with higher 372 senescence-like scores compared to MRD (p<0.001, KS statistic). Notably, we also observed 373 CNV subclonal fitness plasticity in DFAB-25157, whose cells at MRD were characterized by high 374 senescence-like scores. A cycling population of RAS-wildtype cells from one subclone emerged 375 at progression (Figures 4I & S9H; p<0.01, Fisher's exact test), associated with an increased 376 abundance of that subclone at progression (Figure 4E). In contrast, RAS-mutant cells from 377 DFAB-62208, characterized by later developmental phenotypes, were highly proliferative at 378 progression (Figure S9H). Collectively, these data suggest that diverse Ph+ ALL genetic 379 subclones can persist to progression and even clones with senescence-like phenotypes at MRD 380 may expand with enhanced fitness to seed progression. Given the possibility of plasticity and 381 the restrictions imposed by cell states on certain genotypes, these data suggest it may be difficult 382 to predict from genetics alone the subclones that will ultimately seed relapse.

383

384 Direct targeting of transcriptional programs in residual disease deepens remission

385 In light of this complexity, we hypothesized that directly targeting transcriptional programs that 386 enable persistence at MRD could overcome the diversity of subclones identified at remission. 387 Using differential expression and gene-gene correlation (see **Methods**), we identified three 388 expression programs in remission that persisted to progression – a Pre-BCR Signaling program, 389 closely aligned with the PreB-hyb state (e.g., IGLL1, VPREB3), a Stress/Autophagy program 390 (e.g., HSPA1A, UBC), and an inflammatory program (e.g., EGR1, JUN, TNF; Figure 5A; Table 391 **S9**). The inflammatory program was evenly expressed across all leukemic cells in remission, a 392 phenotype seen in other hematological diseases (28504724, 35618837; Figure S10A). The 393 remaining expression programs were variable across MRD cells stratifying those high for the 394 Stress/Autophagy cell state and those expressing the Pre-BCR Signaling program (Figures 395 **S10A & S10B**). We considered these variable programs to test the hypothesis that targeting 396 specific expression programs could deepen remissions. These two variable gene expression

programs split along fitness subpopulations, with leukemic cells harboring high Pre-BCR
 Signaling scores also scoring high for cell cycle, and leukemic cells with high Stress/Autophagy
 program scores enriched for senescence-like expression (Figure 5B).

400 We next evaluated whether these two gene expression programs could be therapeutically 401 targeted. We paired ponatinib with either the FDA-approved SYK inhibitor, fostamatinib, to inhibit 402 pre-BCR signaling in leukemic cells scoring highly for the Pre-BCR program, or the FDA-403 approved p38a MAPK inhibitor losmapimod, to target leukemic cells scoring highly for the 404 Stress/Autophagy program given the co-enrichment of p38a MAPK activation with the 405 Stress/Autophagy program and previous work supporting crosstalk between p38 signaling and 406 autophagy/leukemic stem cell-related phenotypes (Figure S10C).^{33,34,35} As a combination control, we compared transcriptional-state-directed combination therapy to dual oncogene 407 408 targeting using ponatinib and asciminib (Figure 5C). We selected two PDX lines that were 409 enriched for either variable MRD expression program: DFAB-25157, which scored highly for the 410 Stress/Autophagy program, and DFAB-62208, which scored highly for the Pre-BCR signaling 411 program and sat along the poised/cell cycle spectrum (Figures 5D, 5F & S10D). DFAB-25157 412 mice treated with combination los mapimod plus ponatinib showed a significant reduction in 413 residual disease burden compared to dual oncogene suppression, a striking comparison as 414 DFAB-25157 tumors consistently progressed with acquired mutations in ABL1 (Figures 5E & 415 S2C). Analogously, DFAB-62208 mice responded to ponatinib plus fostamatinib and had 416 significantly reduced residual disease compared to dual oncogene suppression (Figure 5G). 417 These data suggest that residual leukemia cells can be effectively targeted according to the 418 specific transcriptional state governing persistence in remission.

419

420 A biophysical workflow for low-cost, rapid coupling of genotype to developmental state 421 in leukemia cells

Our data support the importance of both mutations and overall cell state in determining leukemic cell fitness and therapeutic susceptibility at MRD. While mutations can be monitored in clinical workflows from residual leukemic cells, single-cell transcriptomics is currently difficult to scale due to the overall cost and time required for sample collection and analysis. We sought a metric that would integrate complex transcriptional information from low-input MRD samples to enable rapid determination of leukemic cell state, compatible with downstream mutational profiling.

Immunophenotyping strategies of developmental cell states, especially given the very low
cell numbers at MRD, is likely to be highly challenging. Alternatively, cell size characteristically
decreases as healthy progenitor cells progress from HSCs to pro-B to pre-B cells, putatively

431 providing a label-free attribute with which to phenotype ALL cells.³⁶ We have previously shown 432 that measurements of buoyant mass, as measured by the suspended microchannel resonator (SMR),³⁷ can reveal changes in cell state.^{38,39,40,41,42} Buoyant mass (referred to hereafter simply 433 434 as mass) can be measured from live single cells with a resolution near 50 fg. which is highly 435 precise given that the average buoyant mass of a hematopoietic cell is ~75 pg.⁴³ Further, we 436 have shown that coupling mass measurements to scRNA-seq from the same cell enables the 437 determination of expression-dependent changes in cellular mass.⁴¹ Thus, we hypothesized that 438 underlying biophysical development-like phenotypes may be conserved and sufficient to rapidly 439 capture the developmental state of a leukemia cell.

440 We first determined whether mass can distinguish B cell developmental states in healthy 441 donors. By performing paired SMR-SS2⁴¹ on cells flow-sorted from healthy donors into 442 Progenitor (CFU-L: 155 cells), Pro-B (122 cells), and Immature B (105 cells) gates, we found 443 that each stage of B cell development was characterized by distinct mass distributions, with 444 decreasing cell mass along the B cell developmental trajectory (Figures 6A, 6B, S11A & S11B). 445 Within each B cell developmental stage, healthy cells with higher mass also scored highly for S 446 phase or G2/M phase cell cycle, a pattern seen across studies using SMRs within a specific cell 447 type (Figure S11A).^{41,43,44} We found a strong relationship between each gene's dependence on 448 RF prediction scores and matched cellular mass (r = 0.88 from Pearson correlation), indicating 449 that genes highly associated with cell mass are also most correlated to healthy B cell 450 developmental states (Figure S11C). Consistently, in leukemic cells, genes defining the HSC-451 hyb signature were most positively correlated with leukemic cell mass, and genes defining the 452 PreB-hyb signature were most negatively correlated with cell mass (r = 0.90) (Figure 6C). We 453 validated this observation across 17 additional PDX samples at the bulk level showing that the 454 average leukemic cell mass reflects the average RF predicted state (r = 0.66) and tracks with 455 the progression-emergent mutations for each PDX (Figure 6D). Taken together, these data 456 support mass as a meaningful surrogate for development-associated transcriptional state in 457 leukemia cells.

Finally, we evaluated how single-cell mass could pair with genotyping to further define developmental state and mutation compatibility (**Figure 6E**). We compared mass distributions between RAS-mutant PDX lines with higher HSC-hyb and high senescence-like gene expression (DFAB-25157) and PDX lines with higher PreB-hyb gene expression (DFAB-62208 and DFAB-54880). State-genotype discordant HSC-hyb DFAB-25157 cells were enriched for senescent-like scores and significantly higher mass than the more developmentally-mature and non-senescent DFAB-62208 and DFAB-54880, mirroring mass differences between healthy

465 progenitor and immature B cells (Figure 6F). Furthermore, we found a significant difference 466 between the mass distributions of DFAB-25157 MRD cells compared to DFAB-62208 cells at 467 MRD (Figure 6G), implicating that mass measurements reflect developmentally-relevant and 468 therapeutically actionable heterogeneity in MRD for these leukemias (Figures 5D-G). 469 Consequently, mass measurements appear to be sufficiently sensitive to distinguish differences 470 in developmental state for leukemic cells, and, when assessed simultaneously with genotypic 471 data from the same sample, may predict therapeutic susceptibility for targeting states in MRD.

472

473 **DISCUSSION**

474 Oncogene-directed therapy provides clear benefits to certain patient populations, yet it is equally 475 clear that targeting cancers solely based on their mutational heterogeneity has an upper 476 limit.^{45,46,47} Indeed, our phase II-like preclinical trial results reveal that even combinations of highly 477 potent TKIs aimed at the same oncogene do not cure Ph+ ALL. While much of the preclinical 478 and clinical data in CML and ALL have identified pathway reactivation through alterations in ABL1 as a primary mechanism of escape,^{1,2,3,4} our data suggest alternative pathway activation 479 480 through RAS alterations also drives resistance in a significant fraction of cases. Mirroring patterns seen in patients,⁵ our trial also shows that a large fraction of mice engrafted with patient-481 482 derived leukemias (up to 40%) progress without a clear genetic driver, warranting the exploration 483 of alternate therapeutic strategies for these cases.

Transcriptional phenotypes have been described in AML,¹⁶ CML,¹³ and ALL,⁸ and recent 484 485 studies suggest that patients with more progenitor-like leukemia cells have a worse overall 486 prognosis and tend to respond poorly to therapy. In ALL specifically, a recent study showed that leukemias enriched for progenitor-like states have worse outcomes on imatinib.8 Our data 487 suggest that lineage plasticity is relatively common in response to 3rd generation and 488 489 combination TKI therapy, with resistant leukemia cells most frequently mimicking later stages of B cell development. This contrasts with most settings where, even in solid cancers, a canonical 490 response to therapy is the enrichment of less differentiated cell states.^{48,49} Moreover, we 491 492 demonstrate the importance of defining cell state and mutational associations – despite myriad 493 mutational routes that might be predicted to confer resistance, our data suggest that specific 494 transcriptional backgrounds may restrict leukemias to distinct subsets of escape mutations. 495 Though these associations will need to be learned in larger cohorts and for each specific 496 disease, this framework may represent a strategy for prioritizing the permissible transcriptional 497 state/mutational convergences within oligo/polyclonal populations that can drive progression.

498 While there is agreement on the clinical and therapeutic importance of understanding 499 MRD, the phenotypes of the residual cells responsible for seeding progression and how to best 500 target them remains an outstanding question in the field owing to several technical 501 challenges.^{21,25} In this regard. Ph+ ALL is a tractable system, as it is feasible to isolate MRD 502 from either blood or bone marrow of patients or xenografted mice in adequate numbers to allow 503 for single-cell transcriptomics in addition to DNA sequencing. We found that matched genotypic 504 and phenotypic profiling of rare MRD cells was critical for identifying three key insights about the 505 biology of MRD and the translational potential of targeting it prior to relapse. First, the 506 conventional wisdom proposes that not all cells at MRD can seed relapse, especially those that 507 have exited the cell cycle or are otherwise classified as "unfit".^{21,25} In contrast, we find that some 508 CNV-defined clones expressing senescence-like genes at MRD can re-enter the cell cycle and 509 contribute to progression. Of note, a similar phenotype has also been observed in AML treated 510 with chemotherapy.⁵⁰ Second, our discovery that senescent clones harboring RAS mutations 511 were enriched in residual disease but did not contribute to relapse highlights the importance of 512 understanding the cell state of mutant cells. This observation complicates current MRD 513 evaluation strategies, as information about genotype alone will likely be insufficient to predict 514 relapse for specific leukemias. Third, we show that co-targeting tumor-specific transcriptional 515 programs in remission out-performs additional targeting of the same oncogene, at least with 516 current therapeutics. This finding provides a translational rationale for identifying transcriptional 517 phenotypes in residual disease to inform the rational selection of combination strategies. The 518 importance of targeting cell state likely extends to other cancers where a central oncogene can 519 be deeply inhibited, resulting in relapses that have acquired an alternate histology, including 520 small cell relapse after androgen receptor inhibition in prostate cancer,⁵¹ squamous cell and small cell transitions after EGFR inhibition in lung adenocarcinoma.^{52,53} and estrogen receptor 521 522 positive relapse after HER2 blockade.⁵⁴

523 We note that the influence of an intact immune system on the developmental dynamics of Ph+ ALL is not well defined and represents a liability of our approach interrogating PDX 524 525 models of leukemia in NSG hosts. We mitigated this by confirming our PDX results in serial 526 measurements from patient bone marrow, but future efforts should include the use of humanized 527 xenograft models and additional evaluation of primary patient specimens. Nevertheless, our 528 identification of a central role for developmental state in Ph+ ALL has had immediate clinical 529 implications. Our phase 1 clinical trial of dual oncogene targeting (NCT03595917) completed 530 accrual⁵⁵ and reopened as a phase 2 trial incorporating early introduction of the CD3xCD10 531 bispecific antibody blinatumomab (anti-CD3xCD19 bispecific antibody), which should maintain

activity across the developmental states we have defined in MRD and relapse. Importantly,
 blinatumomab has demonstrated promising clinical activity in clearing residual disease in
 patients intended for consolidative allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation.^{56,57}

535 Evaluating complex, non-mutational biomarkers may have significant clinical challenges. 536 scRNA-seq is not yet a clinically-scalable assay, nor is it readily interpretable on a short time-537 scale. For translation to clinical workflows, it will be critical to develop diagnostics that are able 538 to assess a sample's genotype and relevant phenotype with reasonable throughput and 539 interpretability. For remission profiling specifically, this is further complicated by the requirement 540 for use with low-input samples. Owing to the low-input and non-destructive nature of the SS2-541 SMR measurement,⁴¹ we were able to acquire a unique dataset that directly links cellular mass 542 to leukemic developmental state. These data establish that assessing complex, non-mutational 543 biomarkers may be possible using mass as a relatively simple integrative cellular property. Our 544 matched SMR/scRNA-seq data from normal bone marrow hints that mass variation may extend 545 to other hematopoietic lineages as well so this approach may be applicable in diseases with significant developmental heterogeneity such as AML.¹⁶ We speculate that additional features 546 547 of clinical utility in different disease contexts could come from other integrative single-cell 548 properties such as morphology.⁵⁸

549 In sum, we find transcriptional state controls the fitness of individual clones in MRD and 550 dictates the landscape of progression on TKI in Ph+ ALL. We highlight the need to understand 551 and monitor both mutational and transcriptional features in clinical pipelines to properly evaluate 552 individual clones for their potential to drive relapse. We functionally establish the paramount 553 importance of cell state in this context and suggest it should be prioritized for targeting in 554 conjunction with driver oncogenes. In agreement with recent studies in solid cancers, ^{59,60,61} our 555 work in leukemia makes it apparent that therapies intended to convert remissions to cures should 556 consider monitoring and targeting features outside of traditional mutational biomarkers.⁶²

557 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

558 This work was funded by the NIH-NCI U54 CA217377 (S.R.M., D.M.W., A.K.S.), K08 CA212252 559 (M.A.M.), K12 HL141953-05 (M.A.M.), P30 CA14051 (A.K.S., S.R.M.), 1U2C CA23319501 560 (A.K.S.), R35 CA231958 (D.M.W.); the Paul G. Allen Frontiers Group Distinguished Investigator 561 Award (S.R.M., D.M.W.); the Sloan Research Fellowship in Chemistry (A.K.S.); and the Pew-562 Stewart Scholars Program for Cancer Research (A.K.S.). The authors acknowledge assistance 563 with targeted panel and whole exome sequencing of PDX specimens from Dr. Aaron Thorner, 564 Dr. Anwesha Nag, and Neil Patel of the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute Center for Cancer 565 Genomics.

566

567 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

568 Conceptualization, P.S.W., S.R.M., D.M.W., A.K.S. and M.A.M.; Methodology, P.S.W., M.L.R., A.W.N., S.S., C.P.C., L.C., S.R.M., D.M.W., A.K.S., and M.A.M; Validation, P.S.W., M.L.R., 569 570 A.W.N., and M.A.M.; Formal Analysis, P.S.W., M.L.R., K.E.S., S.R., A.D., S.S., and M.A.M.; 571 Investigation, P.S.W., M.L.R., A.W.N., A.D., S.S., H.S., N.S., M.M., H.H.A., L.B., P.D., C.S.L., 572 K.S., J.G.R., Y.Z., F.P., N.M., L.C., A.P.A, S.V.R., A.J.G., N.C., A.V.S., K.J., H.L., R.J.K., M.M.S., 573 M.A.M.; Resources, S.R.M., D.M.W., A.K.S., and M.A.M.; Data Curation, P.S.W., A.W.N and 574 M.A.M.; Writing – Original Draft, P.S.W., M.L.R., A.W.N, A.K.S., and M.A.M; Writing – Review & 575 Editing, P.S.W, M.L.R., A.W.N, L.C., A.P.A., S.S., S.V.R., M.R.L., S.R.M., D.M.W., A.K.S., and 576 M.A.M.; Visualization, P.S.W., M.L.R., A.W.N., A.K.S., and M.A.M.; Supervision, M.A.M., 577 P.S.W., S.R.M., D.M.W., and A.K.S.; Project Administration, P.S.W., S.R.M., D.M.W., A.K.S., and M.A.M.; Funding Acquisition, S.R.M., D.M.W., A.K.S., and M.A.M. 578

579

580 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

581 S.R.M., R.J.K., M.M.S., and D.M.W. disclose equity ownership in Travera. A.K.S. reports 582 compensation for consulting and/or SAB membership from Honeycomb Biotechnologies, 583 Cellarity, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Fog Pharma, Passkey Therapeutics, Ochre Bio, Relation 584 Therapeutics, IntrECate biotherapeutics, and Dahlia Biosciences unrelated to this work. P.S.W 585 receives research funding from Microsoft. S.R. holds equity in Amgen and receives research 586 funding from Microsoft. D.M.W. is an employee of Merck and Co., owns equity in Merck and Co., 587 Bantam, Ajax, and Travera, received consulting fees from Astra Zeneca, Secura, Novartis, and 588 Roche/Genentech, and received research support from Daiichi Sankyo, Astra Zeneca, 589 Verastem, Abbvie, Novartis, Abcura, and Surface Oncology. P.S.W., A.K.S., M.A.M., S.R.M., 590 and D.M.W. have filed a patent related to this work.

591 Other authors – none.

Figure 1



593 Figure 1. Genetic mechanisms of resistance to oncogene inhibition in Ph+ ALL.

- (A) Motivation for evaluating efficacy and mechanisms of resistance to combination TKI therapyin Ph+ ALL.
- (B) Patient characteristics of the 13 PDX models used in the study and Phase II-like randomized *in vivo* trial design. Number of mice examined by genetic profiling, immunophenotyping ("Flow"), or scRNA-seq at pre-treatment and progression time points. For characteristics of patients from whom PDX lines were derived (Table S2): "TKI"=prior patient exposure to tyrosine kinase inhibitor; "relapse"=patient tumor at progression; "mut"=mutant (non-*BCR::ABL1*); "p210" and "p190"=p210 and p190 *BCR::ABL1* isoforms, respectively.
- 602 (C) Overall survival across treatment arms in Phase II-like study; p-values from Cox regression
 603 analysis at clinical end-point (day 120) are indicated for each pairwise comparison between
 604 treatment arms.
- (D) ABL and RAS pathway detected alterations in Phase II-like study tumors at progression
 (n=40). Treatment emergent mutations indicated when mice from the same PDX line were
 profiled at pretreatment (see full alteration details in Figure S2A and Table S3). Prior
 treatment indicates mice whose PDX lines were derived from patients with prior TKI and
 chemotherapy exposure. "VAF"=variant allele frequency.
- (E) Average VAF for mutations along RAS (y-axis) or ABL (x-axis) pathways, averaged across
 mice in each PDX line at pretreatment or progression. Arrows link pretreatment and
 progression average VAFs from the same PDX line. PDX lines derived from patients with
 prior TKI exposure are outlined in black. Inset highlights a subset of PDX model timepoints
 where no (n=4 pretreatment, n=1 progression) or few mutations were detected in either
 pathway.
- 616
- 617 See also Figures S1 & S2; Tables S1, S2 & S3.



- 619 **Figure 2. Hybrid developmental transcriptional states define B-ALL.**
- 620 (A) Overview of Ph+ ALL scRNA-seq data collected from PDX lines (n=26,987 cells across 11
- 621 PDX lines inclusive of 38 pretreatment and progression tumors) and patient biopsies 622 (n=15,680 cells across 5 patients inclusive of 14 pretreatment and on-treatment tumors).
- (B) Unbiased factorization of leukemic scRNA-seq data with consensus non-negative matrix
 factorization (cNMF). Each row and column is an individual GEP and clustering is based on
 cosine similarity to find meta-programs (mGEPs; see Methods). "HSC"=hematopoietic stem
 cell; "ImmB"=Immature B.
- 627 (C) Each mGEP annotated by the top 30 genes with the highest median cNMF gene spectra
 628 score across clustered intratumoral GEPs (Table S4).
- (D) Approach for supervised classification using a random forest (RF) classifier trained on
 healthy bone marrow (BM) scRNA-seq data.
- 631 (E) Distribution (box plot and violin plot) of leukemia single-cell RF classification probabilities for each healthy BM 632 cell type, ordered by median RF classification probability. 633 "pDC"=plasmacytoid dendritic "Erv"=ervthroid; "Plasma"=plasma cell; cell: 634 "GMP"=granulocyte-monocyte progenitor; "Mono"=monocyte.
- 635 (F) K-nearest neighbor (kNN) projection of all leukemia cells onto reference normal hierarchy, 636 annotated by number of classified leukemic cells for each reference B cell lineage population. 637 (G) Developmental marker gene co-expression in normal Pro-B cells (left) vs. leukemia cells 638 classified as Pro-B cells (right). X-axis represents gene expression score difference between 639 healthy HSC differentially expressed genes (undifferentiated) and the union of Pre-B and 640 Immature B differentially expressed genes (more differentiated); y-axis represents each cell's 641 second highest healthy cell type marker expression score. 300 randomly-sampled single 642 cells from each bin are shown below. P-values from ANOVA (**p<0.001) compare expression 643 distribution in normal vs leukemic Pro-B cells for each normal cell type marker expression 644 score (rows).
- (H) Leukemia cells plotted according to non Pro-B RF classification probabilities. Cells are
 colored by RF Pro-B classification probability (greyscale, fill) and cells are outlined by their
 classified cell type.
- 648
- 649 See also Figures S3-S6; Tables S4 & S5.

- 651 Figure 3. Oncogene withdrawal drives convergence onto developmental hybrids.
- 652 (A) Simpson's Diversity Index (SDI) of non ProB-like hybrid population proportions in each PDX
- 653 line, colored by mutation status at progression. Tied points represent paired PDX treatment 654 stages. Median SDI for pretreatment and progression across PDX lines plotted as a line.
- 655 Wilcoxon rank sum p-value (***p*<0.01) reported, excluding ABL pathway mutated PDX line 656 (outlier DFAB-25157).
- (B) Differentially expressed genes between PDX pretreatment and progression single-cells.
 Marker genes for HSC, Pro-B, Pre-B, and Immature B cell types are annotated.
- (C) Density of cells across the spectrum of hybrid developmental gene expression space,
 calculated by the difference between later-stage hybrid scores (PreB-hyb, ImmatureB-hyb)
 and progenitor hybrid scores (HSC-hyb). Rows are annotated by PDX line, time point, and
 mutation ("mut.") status at progression.
- (D) SDI of flow cytometry immunophenotyped B cell lineage populations within individual PDX
 tumors at pretreatment and progression; median SDI indicated for pretreatment and
 progression tumors. ***p*<0.01 (Wilcoxon rank sum test).
- (E) Fractional representation of immunophenotyped B cell lineage populations for 42 leukemia
 samples from 11 PDX lines at pretreatment and progression time points. "Pre." =
 pretreatment; "Prog." = progression. Immunophenotyped population flow cytometry markers
 defined in Figures S7B & S7C.
- (F) Pretreatment and progression average immunophenotyped population proportions (as plotted in (E)) for three representative PDX lines corroborate transcriptional trends in (C);
 error bars indicate ±1 standard deviation when at least 3 mice were profiled. Number of mice profiled at each time point indicated for each PDX line. PDX lines are labeled based on mutation group at progression.
- 675 (G) *BCR::ABL1* percent mRNA qRT-PCR traces (log₁₀(BCR::ABL/β-Actin mRNA)) from bone marrow
 676 aspirates of two patients on combination ABL1 inhibition, including one representative responder
 677 (BIAB-16768) and one non-responder (DFAB-71417), from a Phase I clinical trial (**Table S6**). MRD
 678 3.0 indicates trial definition of remission tumor burden (3-log reduction in bone marrow *BCR::ABL1*
- 679 mRNA detected by qRT-PCR). Right: scRNA-seq data collected from patients at each treatment
 680 cycle time point shown on t-SNE projections.
- (H) Density of cells across the spectrum of hybrid developmental space, as defined in (C),
 compared across paired patient pre-treatment and on-treatment time point bone marrow
 aspirates.

- 686 Figure 4. Developmental phenotypes restrict genotype fitness in remission.
- (A) Strategy for profiling three representative PDX models at pretreatment, MRD, and
 progression with Smart-Seq2 (SS2).
- 689 (B) t-SNE visualizations for the leukemic cells collected with SS2 and labeled by PDX line (top),
- 690 developmental state (middle; "dev."=development), and detected genetic alterations (bottom;
- 691 "SNV"=single nucleotide variant; "CNV"=copy number variant).
- (C) Density distributions of leukemia cells at pretreatment, MRD, and progression time points
 across HSC-hyb to PreB-hyb gene expression scores. *p<0.001 from KS test for each
 pairwise comparison between treatment stages.
- (D) Mutant or wild-type (WT) transcript detection for *KRAS*, *NRAS*, and *PTPN11* within single cells. Significant mutant transcript abundance between time points are annotated; **p*<0.05
 by Fisher exact test.
- 698 (E) Dynamics of CNV sub-clonal proportions at pretreatment, MRD, and progression in DFAB-
- 25157. Pie charts represent *KRAS* or *NRAS* fraction of each sub-clone at the indicated time
 points. Number of cells sampled within each CNV sub-clone are reported.
- (F) Differentially expressed genes between DFAB-25157 *KRAS*-mutant cells at MRD versus all
 other *KRAS*-mutant cells, highlighting increased expression of genes implicated in
 senescence (Table S8).
- (G)RAS-pathway mutant leukemic cells plotted according to their differentiation gene expression
 score on the x-axis, and senescence-like gene expression score on the y-axis. Overlaid
 healthy progenitor, Pre-B, and Immature B cells colored by cell type.
- (H) Fitness landscape of cell-cycle arrested, poised, and actively cycling leukemic cells in remission. Single-cells plotted by cycling (x-axis) and senescence (y-axis) signature scores.
 Distributions for cells in each fitness quadrant shown (green=MRD, red=Progression; **p*<0.001 reported from KS test).
- (I) DFAB-25157 leukemic cells from each CNV subclone ranked along senescence-like and cell
 cycle signature scores. Fisher's exact test p-value reported for the origin of cycling cells
 (MRD vs. Progression). No cells belonged to the "poised" fitness category from either CNV
 subclone.
- 715
- 716 See also Figures S8 & S9; Tables S7 & S8.

- 718 Figure 5. Targeting integrative cell states enhances remission.
- 719 (A) Pairwise Pearson correlation of genes defining MRD states (Table S9).
- 720 (B) Module scores for the Stress-Autophagy (turquoise) and Pre-BCR Signaling (dark red) states
- projected over single-cells at MRD. Cells are plotted along fitness quadrants as in **Figure 4H**
- by their cycling (x-axis) and senescence-like (y-axis) gene signature scores.
- 723 **(C)** Study design for testing MRD cell-state targeting.
- 724 **(D)** Cell fitness distribution for DFAB-25157 MRD cells.
- 725 (E) DFAB-25157 MRD bone marrow disease burden assessed by flow cytometry (y-axis, relative
- to Ponatinib+Asciminib) in the respective treatment arms ("Asc."=Asciminib;
- 727 "Fos."=Fostamatinib; "Los."=Losmapimod). T-test p-values reported, comparing losmapimod
- and fostamatinib arms to asciminib reference.
- 729 (F) Cell fitness distribution for DFAB-62208 MRD cells.
- 730 (G)DFAB-62208 MRD disease burden assessed by flow cytometry as in (E). Reported t-test p-
- values compare losmapimod and fostamatinib arms to asciminib reference.
- 732
- 733 See also Figure S10; Table S9.

Figure 6. Biophysical measurements can be used as a surrogate for complex
 transcriptional states.

- (A) Schematic for evaluating the relationship between complex transcriptional state andintegrative biophysical features.
- (B) Mass distributions from the sorted populations in (A) measured using the SMR; median mass
 reported.
- (C) Leukemia cell mass-correlated genes (x-axis) are plotted against each gene's correlation to
 developmental phenotypes (RF probability for progenitor and Pre-B cell types; y-axis).
 Colored points mark genes included in the Progenitor and Pre-B SS2 signatures; "Sig.
 genes"=Leukemia developmental marker genes.
- (D) Average difference in RF prediction score between early and late stages of B cell
 development (x-axis) versus average mass for each mouse (n=17), binned by distributions
 in (B) and Figure S11A, and annotated by progression mutation status.
- 748 (E) Proposed workflow for comparing sequencing to biophysical measurements for diagnostics.
- 749 (F) Example application for pairing mutation and mass information to predict development and 750 fitness-integrated transcriptomic state. Density spectra of (left) developmental score and 751 (right) mass for (top) healthy progenitor cells and immature B cells, and (bottom) RAS-mutant 752 leukemic cells in three representative PDX lines. Dotted line for mass distribution represents 753 mean+1 standard deviation of healthy Immature B mass. Median differentiation scores or 754 mass for each PDX line are denoted as a dot; PDX lines are colored based on their median 755 similarity to Immature B or Progenitor differentiation scores or mass. * indicates significant 756 difference between DFAB-25157 differentiation score or mass distributions compared to 757 those of DFAB-62208 and DFAB-54880 (KS test, p<0.001). Individual cells are colored 758 according to their senescence signature score. Blue shaded region is the putative zone of 759 compatibility for RAS mutations and developmental state.
- (G)Mass distributions for leukemia cells at MRD from DFAB-25157 (sensitive to combination
 losmapimod) and DFAB-62208 (sensitive to combination fostamatinib). ***p*<0.001 from
 paired Wilcoxon test.
- 763
- 764 See also Figure S11.

765 METHODS

766 **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

767 Lead Contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be sent to and will be fulfilled

769 by Dr. Peter Winter (<u>pwinter@broadinstitute.org</u>).

770 Data Availability

The scRNA-seq data and SMR data reported in this paper will be deposited in a central data sharing repository (Genomic Data Commons) under the NCBI Database of Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGaP). scRNA-seq digital gene expression matrices, metadata, and interactive visualization tools will additionally be available through the Alexandria Project, a Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation-funded portal (part of the Single Cell Portal hosted by the Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard). Code used for analysis will be available upon request.

777

778 EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

779 Generation and Use of PDXs

780 Primary bone marrow and peripheral blood specimens were collected from patients with 781 leukemia at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Brigham and Women's Hospital, and Boston 782 Children's Hospital for xenotransplantation. Additional PDXs that had already been established through the Public Repository of Xenografts (PRoXe) were utilized.²⁷ De-identified patient 783 784 samples were obtained with informed consent and xenografted under Dana-Farber/Harvard 785 Cancer Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved Center protocols. Nod.Cq-786 Prkdc^{scid}IL2rg^{tm1Wjl}/SzJ (NSG) mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories and handled 787 according to Dana-Farber Cancer Institute Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee-788 approved protocols. Salient PDX line metadata are provided in Tables S1 & S2.

789 In vivo therapeutic studies

Viably frozen Ph+ ALL xenograft cells were thawed and changed into 1X PBS before tail-vein injection at 0.5-2.0*10⁶ cells per mouse. Engraftment was monitored by weekly peripheral blood flow cytometry beginning three weeks after injection. Blood was processed with Red Blood Cell Lysis Buffer (Qiagen #158904; Hilden, Germany) and stained with antibodies against human CD45 (APC-conjugated, eBioscience #17-0459-42; San Diego, CA, USA) and human CD19 (PE-conjugated, eBioscience #12-0193-82) in 1X PBS with EDTA (2mM). Flow cytometry data were analyzed using FlowJo software (BD Biosciences; Ashland, OR, USA). Upon engraftment

797 - when at least 10% of cells were positive for CD45 and CD19 - mice within each PDX line underwent 1:2:2:4:1 randomization to the following arms and initiated treatment within two days: 798 799 (1) sacrifice for baseline tissue interrogation; (2) ponatinib (Selleckchem #S1490; Houston, TX, 800 USA: constituted in 25mM citrate buffer, pH 2.75) 40mg/kg via oral gayage (OG) daily: (3) 801 asciminib (NVP-ABL001, Novartis Pharmaceuticals; Basel, Switzerland; constituted in HCI 0.1M, 802 PEG300 30%, Solutrol HS15 6%, NaOH 0.1M, sodium acetate buffer pH 4.7 10mM) 30mg/kg 803 OG twice daily; (4) ponatinib 40mg/kg OG twice daily plus asciminib 30mg/kg OG BID; and (5) 804 vehicle (alternating doses of vehicle used for ponatinib and asciminib, at equivalent volumes). 805 One mouse per active treatment arm per PDX line was sacrificed on day 7 of treatment for 806 pharmacodynamic assessment. The remaining mice continued daily treatment under monitoring 807 with biweekly peripheral blood flow cytometry until progression (defined as peripheral blood 808 involvement of at least 10% on two consecutive assessments at least one week apart), weight 809 loss of greater than 20% from pre-treatment baseline, or clinical manifestations of advanced 810 disease, including but not limited to ruffled fur, hunched posture, hind limb paralysis, or lethargy. 811 Progression or toxicity as defined above triggered humane euthanasia by CO_2 asphyxiation. 812 necropsy to ascertain cause of death, and post-mortem harvest of peripheral blood, bone 813 marrow, and any soft tissue masses. Additional in vivo studies involved treatment with nilotinib 814 (Selleckchem #S1033), which was constituted in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (10%) in polyethylene 815 glycol (PEG)-300 (90%) and dosed at 50mg/kg OG twice daily.

816 Studies to define the *in vivo* activity of combination therapies targeting the biology of MRD 817 within individual PDX lines DFAB-62208 and DFAB-25157 utilized the same xenotransplantation 818 and engraftment monitoring scheme as previously described and the following drugs: ponatinib 819 (as above), asciminib (as above), fostamatinib (Selleckchem #S2206-50mg), constituted in 0.1% 820 carboxymethylcellulose sodium, 0.1% methylparaben, and 0.02% propylparaben (pH 6.5) and 821 dosed at 25mg/kg OG thrice daily, and losmapimod (Selleckchem #S7215-50mg), constituted in 822 1% DMSO in methylcellulose and dosed at 20mg/kg via the intraperitoneal (IP) route daily. Upon 823 engraftment (>10% leukemia involvement of peripheral blood), individual mice underwent live 824 femoral bone marrow aspirates under anesthesia with inhaled isoflurane delivered via precision 825 vaporizer and underwent 1:1:1 randomization to the combination of ponatinib and asciminib. 826 ponatinib and fostamatinib, or ponatinib and losmapimod. Animals initiated treatment within 48 827 hours of engraftment and continued treatment for 21 days \pm 3 days, at which point they 828 underwent humane euthanasia, necropsy, and immediate post-mortem recovery of peripheral 829 blood and bone marrow from the femur contralateral to that which was aspirated upon 830 engraftment.

831 Human donors for reference

Normal human bone marrow aspirates were obtained from donors who provided informed 832 833 consent for tissue banking and research under Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Center IRB 834 protocols and were undergoing bone marrow harvest for unrelated hematopoietic stem cell 835 transplantation recipients. Briefly, bone marrow was collected into a Baxter bone marrow harvest 836 collection system with diluent consisting of sodium heparin in lactated Ringers solution. Bone 837 marrow was heparinized at a final concentration of 15-20 units/mL and filtered inline using 838 200µm and 500µm filters. Bone marrow mononuclear cells from the heparinized, filtered product 839 were isolated via density gradient centrifugation (Ficoll-Pague, ThermoFisher Scientific #45-001-840 749) and subsequently underwent fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) to isolate 841 hematopoietic developmental subpopulations for Seq-Well S³ and SS2 single-cell transcriptomic 842 profiling (see Methods Details).

843 Phase I clinical trial

844 Serial primary blood and bone marrow specimens were obtained from appropriately consented 845 patients treated on a phase I, investigator-initiated clinical trial (NCT03595917) of asciminib 846 (ABL001) in combination with dasatinib plus prednisone for adults with newly diagnosed Ph+ 847 ALL or chronic myelogenous leukemia in lymphoid blast phase (CML-LBP). Some patients 848 cross-consented to a Dana-Farber Cancer Institute tissue banking protocol permitting additional 849 evaluation of primary specimens. Bone marrow was obtained at screening and after each 21-850 day cycle through the first four cycles. Peripheral blood was obtained at screening and on days 851 2, 4, 8, 11, 15, and 22 (±2 days) of cycle 1. Both bone marrow and peripheral blood were 852 collected into EDTA vacutainer tubes prior to mononuclear cell isolation per standard protocols. 853 Bone marrow and peripheral blood underwent clinical guantitative real time PCR for BCR::ABL1 854 mRNA according to the BCR::ABL1 isoform detected at screening (p190 or p210). Curated sets 855 of Ph+ ALL clinically annotated specimens underwent evaluation by scRNA-seg (Seq-Well S³; 856 salient donor metadata provided in Table S6).

857

858 METHOD DETAILS

859 Quantifying BCR::ABL1 mRNA in PDX peripheral blood with qRT-PCR

860 BCR::ABL1 mRNA levels were measured via quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) of serial

peripheral blood specimens from PDX models to track kinetics of response and progression.
Briefly, xenografted mice were phlebotomized for 100µL by submandibular vein laceration every

863 two weeks. Blood was stored in RNAProtect tubes (Qiagen #76544). mRNA was isolated using

- the RNeasy Protect Animal Blood Kit (Qiagen #73224) and quantified using the iScript One-Step
 RT-PCR Kit with SYBR Green (Bio-Rad #170-8893) on a Bio-Rad CFX96 Thermal Cycler.
 Synthesis of cDNAs was performed with random hexamers. Amplification of cDNAs was
 performed using iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad #172-5125) and the following
 oligomers:
- 869 BCR::ABL1 isoform p190 forward: CAACAGTCCTTCGACAGCAG
- 870 BCR::ABL1 isoform p190 reverse: CCCTGAGGCTCAAAGTCAGA
- 871 BCR::ABL1 isoform p210 forward: TCCGCTGACCATCAATAAGGA
- 872 BCR::ABL1 isoform p210 reverse: CACTCAGACCCTGAGGCTCAA
- 873 Positive control reagents for each isoform were p190 clonal control RNA (Invivoscribe #4-
- 874 089-2800) and mRNA isolated from the BCR::ABL1 p210-positive cell line K562.

875 Quantifying BCR::ABL1 mRNA in primary patient peripheral blood with qRT-PCR

- 876 BCR::ABL1 mRNA was quantified in the peripheral blood of patients treated on clinical trial
- 877 NCT03595917 via CAP/CLIA-approved clinical BCR::ABL1 qRT-PCR performed in the clinical
- 878 molecular laboratory of Brigham and Women's Hospital (Boston, MA).

879 Targeted DNA Sequencing

PDX models underwent mutational profiling with targeted panels. Leukemia cells were enriched from fresh primary PDX bone marrow or peripheral blood via immunomagnetic enrichment for human B cells using human CD19 MicroBeads (Miltenyi Biotec #130-050-301; Gaithersburg, MD, USA). DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit (QIAGEN #69504) and fluorometrically quantitated using the Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit (Invitrogen #Q32854; Waltham, MA, USA) prior to use in next-generation sequencing library preparation.

886 A hybrid-capture target enrichment panel targeting the full coding sequences of 183 887 genes selected based on the presence of recurrent mutations in hematologic malignancies was 888 utilized to profile most PDX models at baseline, on-treatment, and at end of study (as previously 889 described).⁶³ An amplicon-based clinical sequencing panel targeting hotspot regions of the 890 oncogenes and most of the coding regions of tumor suppressor genes recurrently implicated in 891 hematologic malignancies (total 93 genes) was employed for a subset of PDX models.⁶⁴ A 892 custom amplicon-based deep sequencing panel targeting 23 genes implicated in in B-ALL 893 treatment resistance (ArcherDX; Boulder, CO, USA) was employed to profile PDXs progressing 894 after BCR::ABL1 inhibition.

- 895
- 896

897 Whole Exome Sequencing (WES) sample preparation

898 PDXs that progressed in absence of treatment-emergent driver alterations detected by targeted 899 sequencing underwent whole exome sequencing using the SureSelect Human All Exon v5 kit 900 (Agilent Life Sciences; Santa Clara, CA, USA). Briefly, 100ng of genomic DNA from each 901 leukemia specimen as well as a control cell line (CEPH 1408) and a tail clipping from a non-902 xenografted NSG mouse were fragmented to 250bp on a Covaris Ultrasonicator (Woburn, MA, 903 USA). Size-selected DNA fragments were ligated to xGen v1 UDI-UMI9 adaptors (Integrated 904 DNA Technologies; Coralville, IA, USA) during automated library preparation with a Biomek FX^p 905 liquid handling robot (Beckman Coulter; Indianapolis, IN, USA). Libraries (250ng per sample) 906 were pooled to 750ng and captured with the SureSelect Human All Exon v5 bait set. Captures 907 were pooled and sequenced on a HiSeg 3000 (Illumina; San Diego, CA, USA).

908 Flow sorting of from healthy human bone marrow aspirates and PDX tumors

909 Approximately 10⁶ cells per sample were resuspended in PBS with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole 910 (DAPI: 0.75µg/mL) as a dead cell marker. For cell surface staining, PBS-washed cells were 911 blocked with Fc blocker for 10 min on ice and then stained with the antibodies listed in Table S10 912 at the manufacturers' recommended concentrations or with an isotype control for 25 min on ice. 913 Cells were then washed and resuspended in chilled PBS containing 0.75µg/mL of DAPI to 914 exclude dead cells. For annexin V staining, annexin V binding buffer (BD Biosciences) was used 915 instead of PBS, and 7-aminoactinomysin D (7-AAD; BD Biosciences) instead of DAPI. 916 Phycoerythrin (PE)-labelled annexin V was purchased from BD Biosciences. Acquisition was 917 performed on a LSR Fortessa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Fluorescence-based cell sorting 918 was performed on a FACSAria II (BD Biosciences). FACS data were analyzed with FlowJo 919 software (FlowJo).

Cells expressing B cell lineage-defining surface proteins were enriched by FACS on a BD
FACSAria II cell sorter (BD Biosciences; Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA) based on staining
with antibodies targeting the following markers: Annexin V, CD45, CD34, CD10, CD19, CD20,
and CD22. Healthy and immunophenotyped subpopulations were defined as in Figures S4A &
S7B. Lymphoid progenitor sub-populations then underwent scRNA-seq via Seq-Well S³ and
SS2.

926 Sample preparation for scRNA-seq of clinical and PDX samples

We used the Seq-Well S³ platform for massively parallel scRNA-seq to capture transcriptomes
 of single cells on barcoded mRNA capture beads.³¹ Briefly, a single-cell suspension of 15,000
 cells in 200µL RPMI media supplemented with 10% FBS was loaded onto single arrays

930 containing barcoded mRNA capture beads (ChemGenes). The arrays were sealed with a 931 polycarbonate membrane (pore size of 0.01µm), before undergoing cell lysis and transcript 932 hybridization. The barcoded mRNA capture beads were then recovered and pooled for all 933 subsequent steps. Reverse transcription was performed using Maxima H Minus Reverse 934 Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific EP0753). Exonuclease I treatment (NEB M0293 L) was 935 used to remove excess primers, followed by Second Strand Synthesis using a primer of eight 936 random bases to create complementary cDNA strands with SMART handles for PCR 937 amplification. Whole transcriptome amplification was carried out using KAPA HiFi PCR 938 Mastermix (Kapa Biosystems KK2602) with 2000 beads per 50-µl reaction volume. Libraries 939 were then pooled in sets of eight (totaling 16,000 beads), purified using Agencourt AMPure XP 940 beads (Beckman Coulter, A63881) by a 0.6× solid phase reversible immobilization (SPRI) 941 followed by a 1× SPRI, and quantified using Qubit hsDNA Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific 942 Q32854). The quality of whole transcriptome amplification (WTA) product was assessed using 943 the Agilent High Sensitivity D5000 Screen Tape System (Agilent Genomics) with an expected 944 peak at 800 base pairs tailing off to beyond 3000 base pairs and a small/nonexistent primer 945 peak.

946 Libraries were constructed using the Nextera XT DNA tagmentation method (Illumina FC-947 131–1096) on a total of 750pg of pooled cDNA library from 16,000 recovered beads using index primers with format as previously described.³¹ Tagmented and amplified sequences were 948 949 purified at a 0.6× SPRI ratio yielding library sizes with an average distribution of 300 to 750bp in 950 length as determined using the Agilent High Sensitivity D5000 Screen Tape System (Agilent 951 Genomics). Two arrays were sequenced per sequencing run with an Illumina 75 Cycle NextSeq 952 500/550 v2 kit (Illumina FC-404–2005) at a final concentration of 2.4pM. The read structure was 953 paired end with Read 1 starting from a custom Read 1 primer containing 20 bases with a 12-bp 954 cell barcode and 8-bp unique molecular identifier (UMI) and Read 2 containing 50 bases of 955 transcript sequence.

956 Sample preparation for paired SMR mass profiling and SMART-Seq2

For all PDX and healthy bone marrow samples, cells were adjusted to a final concentration of 2.5*10⁵ cells/ml to load single cells into the mass sensor array and record single-cell mass measurements, as previously described.^{41,65} In order to exchange buffer and flush individual cells from the system, the release side of the device was constantly flushed with PBS at a rate of 15µL per minute. Upon detection of a single-cell at the final cantilever of the SMR, as indicated by a supra-threshold shift in resonant frequency, a set of three-dimensional motorized stages (ThorLabs) was triggered to move a custom PCR-tube strip mount from a
964 waste collection position to a sample collection position to retrieve the cell. Each cell was 965 dispensed in approximately 5µl of PBS into a PCR tube containing 5µl of 2× TCL lysis buffer 966 (Qiagen) with 2% v/v 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma) for a total final reaction volume of 10µl. After 967 each 8-tube PCR strip was filled with cells, the strip was spun down at 1,000 g for 30 seconds 968 and immediately snap-frozen on dry ice. Following collection, samples were stored at -80 C prior 969 to library preparation and sequencing.

970 Single-cell lysates were compiled from independent collections upon thawing and 971 transferred into wells of a 0.2mL skirted 96-well PCR plate (Thermo Fisher Scientific). scRNA-972 seg libraries were generated using SMART-Seg2 protocol.⁶⁶ Briefly, cDNA was reversed 973 transcribed from single cells using Maxima RT (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and whole 974 transcriptome amplification (WTA) was performed. WTA products were purified using the 975 Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter) and used to prepare paired-end libraries with 976 Nextera XT (Illumina). Single cells were pooled and sequenced on a NextSeg 550 sequencer 977 (Illumina) using a 75 cycle High Output Kit (v2.5) with a 30bp paired end read structure.

978

979 QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

980 PDX *in vivo* studies: survival analysis on treatment arms and with pretreatment clinical 981 risk stratification metadata

982 Analyses fitting a Cox proportional hazards model for overall survival (OS) and progression-free 983 survival (PFS) outcomes on treatment arms and pretreatment clinical risk stratification categories were performed using the *survival* package in R.⁶⁷ The following pre-clinical features 984 985 included: IZKF1 deletion, 9p deletion, hyperdiploid karyotype, gain of chromosome 21, 986 presenting white blood cell count, age, sex (if age <18 years), race, phase of disease, number 987 of prior therapies, and pre-existing ABL1 mutation(s). Hazard ratios and p-values for PFS within 988 pretreatment clinical risk categories were generated relative to the lowest risk group in each 989 category (Figure S1D).

990 WES alignment and variant calling

Pooled sequenced WES samples were demultiplexed using Picard tools. Read pairs were aligned to the hg19 reference build using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner.⁶⁸ Data were sorted and duplicate-marked using Picard tools. Alignments were refined using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK)^{69,70} for localized realignment around small insertion and deletion (indel) sites. Mutation analysis for single nucleotide variants was performed with MuTect v1.1.4⁷¹ and annotated by Variant Effect Predictor.⁷² Indels were called using the SomaticIndelDetector tool

- 997 of the GATK. Copy number variants (CNVs) were identified using RobustCNV for autosomes.⁷³
- 998 Detected alterations are reported in **Table S3** and **Figure S2A**.

999 scRNA-seq sequencing alignment and quality control

Sequenced Seq-Well BCL files were demultiplexed into individual sample FASTQs for Read 1 and Read 2 using the bcl2fastq pipeline on Terra, as previously described. The resultant paired read FASTQs were aligned to the hg19 genome using the cumulus/dropseq_tools pipeline on Terra maintained by the Broad Institute using standard settings, generating a genes by cells count matrix for each sample.⁷⁴ Low quality cells were filtered using nGene≤200, nUMI≤500, and percent mitochondrial transcripts≤30% thresholds before merging samples; genes were filtered if they were not expressed in at least 10 cells.

Sequenced SS2 BCL files were similarly demultiplexed using bcl2fastq and aligned to the hg19 genome using publicly available scripts on Terra (<u>github.com/broadinstitute/TAG-public</u>). Total gene counts and transcript per million (TPM) matrices were filtered to remove low quality cells with <15% transcriptome mapping, 2,000 genes, and 45,000 mapped reads, before continuing analysis. Genes expressed in fewer than 10 cells, as well as long non-coding RNAs and unique hg19 reference-build variants were removed before downstream analysis.

1013 Human healthy bone marrow reference cell type clustering and visualization

1014 After QC filtering, 13,643 high quality cells from 7 healthy human bone marrow donors were analyzed in Seurat v2.3.4 to classify hematopoietic cell types.⁷⁵ After normalization, the top 1015 1016 1,500 highly dispersed variable genes were selected using the mean-variance plot method in 1017 Seurat's FindVariableFeatures function. ScRNA-seg data was scaled over highly variable genes 1018 and used as input for PCA analysis. The top significant PCs, as defined by the JackStraw test 1019 (top 25 PCs), were used as input for building a SNN graph to cluster cells by their (k=35) nearest 1020 neighbors and for t-SNE visualization of clusters. Given the shared, continuous hierarchy of 1021 covarying gene expression in hematopoietic development, broad cell types (progenitor, myeloid, 1022 erythroid, B cell lineage, pDCs, T cells, and Plasmablasts) were called based on their 1023 differentially expressed genes (identified using the Wilcox test in Seurat's FindAllMarkers 1024 function), and subset into individual Seurat objects for a second round of clustering to resolve 1025 the final 13 cell types defined in **Figure S4**. Cell type annotations were *post-hoc* validated based 1026 on biased or exclusive expression of known marker genes (Figure S4D).

1027 SS2 healthy reference cell types were called by their confident random forest prediction 1028 probabilities (see next section) and examination of marker genes to provide further support of 1029 cell type identification (**Figure S8B**). Cell type clusters were visualized using SPRING, a tool

1030 that generates force-directed layouts from kNN graphs to visually preserve hierarchical 1031 relationships between cell types.⁷⁶

1032 Unbiased identification of consensus intratumoral gene expression programs with NMF

1033 We sought to identify common axes of covarying intratumoral gene expression within all Ph+ 1034 ALL tumors in our dataset. First, we ran consensus NMF (cNMF) on each tumor in our dataset 1035 (n=52 total samples, defining bone marrow and spleen samples from the same mouse as 1036 individual tumors).⁷⁷ For this analysis, we selected a consensus 1,489 variable genes across all 1037 tumors by first identifying the top 2,500 variable genes within each individual tumor using the 1038 variance standardized transformation method in Seurat v5.0.2 FindVariableFeatures function. 1039 To ensure consensus variable gene selection was not biased by PDX line- or patient-specific 1040 variable genes, as some models or donors had more tumors sampled than others, we initially 1041 selected the top 2,000 median weighted variable genes across tumors within a PDX line or 1042 patient, and then chose the top 2,000 median weighted variable genes across all PDX line and 1043 patient median gene lists. 511 of these top 2,000 variable genes were removed based on non-1044 zero expression across all 52 tumors.

1045 cNMF (1,000 iterations) was performed on the counts matrices of each tumor utilizing the 1046 consensus variable gene list over a range of k=3-9. All stable solutions of k, defined by a cNMF 1047 solution silhouette score>0.8 across iterations, were evaluated for optimal k selection using the 1048 following heuristics. We first hierarchically clustered the Jaccard Similarity of the top 50 genes 1049 from each factor across all stable k solutions; under-clustered k solutions were nominated based 1050 on factors that contained genes split across clusters that were hierarchically clustered in higher 1051 k factorizations, and over-clustered k solutions were nominated based on the presence of factors 1052 that did not hierarchically cluster with lower k factorizations or split genes across multiple lower 1053 k factors.⁷⁸ To further evaluate these hypothesized over- or under-clustered k solutions, we 1054 scaled the data and ran UMAP projections over the top 50 genes from each factor for each 1055 stable k solutions. We used Seurat's AddModuleScore function over the top 50 genes from each 1056 factor to assess whether under-clustered factors convolved expression across UMAP 1057 subclusters of optimal k solutions, or whether over-clustered factors scored highest in the same 1058 subcluster of cells or mostly strongly defined 1-2 cells ("junk" factor). Finally, we assessed 1059 significant Pearson correlation of the top 50 genes in each optimal k factor over an expression-1060 binned bootstrapped null distribution as previously described.⁷⁹ removing factors that were not 1061 significantly correlated (typically "activity"-like continuous programs in UMAP projections that, 1062 upon inspection, actually contained sparsely expressed genes of redundant biological 1063 annotations to other factors within that k solution). Factors from the selected optimal k that

1064 contained significantly correlated genes were labeled as "intratumoral gene expression 1065 programs" or GEPs, and collated for downstream intertumoral comparisons across the entire 1066 tumor cohort. Examples of intratumoral GEPs from representative PDX and patient tumors are 1067 shown in **Figure S3B**.

1068 From performing intratumoral cNMF on 52 tumors, we identified 166 intratumoral GEPs. 1069 We excluded outlier GEPs by constructing a kNN graph (k=15) and filtered 40 intratumoral GEPs 1070 using an elbow-based filtering criterion over kNN distances of each individual GEP to its nearest 1071 neighbor. The remaining 126 intratumoral GEPs were hierarchically clustered using Ward.D 1072 clustering over their cosine similarity to reveal 7 meta-GEPs or "mGEPs", which we interpret as 1073 shared intratumoral gene covariation across at least 8 individual tumors (Figure S3A). To 1074 interpret shared gene covariation across each identified mGEP, we isolated the top 30 median 1075 gene loadings across intratumoral GEPs within a given mGEP cluster (Figures 3C & S3A; Table 1076 S4).

1077 Training and interpreting the random forest classifier

1078 Random forest is an ensemble machine learning method used for both classification and 1079 regression. Like other ensemble models, random forests combine multiple weak classifiers, in 1080 this case shallow decision trees, to make predictions. In this work, a random forest was used for 1081 classification. Here, we interrogate aberrant developmental hierarchies in ALL by using random 1082 forests to predict the nearest cell type from the normal B-cell lineage for single cells from Ph+ 1083 ALL samples. There are inherent advantages to random forests for the Ph+ ALL classification 1084 task. Importantly, ensemble classifiers, like a random forest, provide a distribution of class 1085 probabilities reflecting the similarity of each cell to each cell type the model was trained on. This 1086 is done by calculating the proportion of trees voting for a cell type for each given observation. 1087 To generate a single prediction for a cell, the highest-class probability becomes the prediction. 1088 The higher the probability of the chosen class, the more transcriptionally similar the cell is to that 1089 stage of B cell development. The distribution of class probabilities itself can be used to 1090 understand the certainty – or uncertainty – of a prediction. We leveraged this measure of 1091 uncertainty in predictions to evaluate how well a tumor cell fits a specific stage in B cell lineage 1092 (Figure 2H). A tumor cell with a more uniform distribution of probabilities over classes likely 1093 shares transcriptional features with many a wider range of stages of B cell development, 1094 potentially indicating a more aberrant cell from normal development. Second, ensemble 1095 approaches tend to be more robust to overfitting, which is necessary when applying a model 1096 trained on sorted, healthy populations of cells to evaluate aberrant leukemic cells. Finally, 1097 because random forests are nonparametric models, they also are highly flexible to input feature

scale and variance. This makes the approach particularly suited to raw count matrices output by
 various scRNA-seq technologies used.

1100 Here, we trained a random forest on sorted cells from the B cell lineage using 15,000 1101 genes with detected expression in more than 10 cells as input features. Random forests were 1102 implemented using R version 3.5.1 using the caret package for training infrastructure.⁸⁰ The 1103 ranger implementation of random forests was used.⁸¹ Hyperparameter search over ranger 1104 parameters (the number of randomly selected features considered for splitting at each tree node 1105 and the rule used for splitting) was done via 10-fold cross-validation (CV). The model achieved 1106 an accuracy of 94±0.006% on 10-fold CV with optimal parameters. The final model used the full 1107 training set of 13.643 cells. Results of 10-fold cross validation are provided in **Figure S5A**. The 1108 model was also evaluated on an external testing set of Seq-Well generated healthy bone marrow 1109 scRNA-seg transcriptomes,¹⁶ and achieved performance of average AUC=0.99 over all 13 cell 1110 types (Figure S5C). To interpret features being used to make predictions by the classifier, we 1111 used permutation importance tests. Permutation importance measures the impact of randomly 1112 shuffling feature values on the performance of a model measured as accuracy and decrease in 1113 Gini impurity. Specifically, a computationally accelerated heuristic method was used that 1114 constructs a null distribution from features that have importance values close to zero, limiting the 1115 need for randomly shuffling all features independently to evaluate significance.⁸² The results of 1116 feature importance defining marker genes segregating the 13 cell types can be found in Figure 1117 S5B.

1118 Generating Tumor Hybrid Scores and assigning leukemia cells to hybrid populations

1119 Tumor Hybrid gene signatures were generated as previously described.¹⁶ First, normalized gene expression values were correlated to RF cell type classification probabilities along B cell 1120 1121 progenitor cell types (HSC, Pre-B, and Immature B). Pro-B RF probability correlations were 1122 excluded; since most leukemic cells were dominantly classified as Pro-B with secondary 1123 classifications along B cell lineage cell types, genes that highly correlated to Pro-B RF 1124 probabilities were not Pro-B-specific. To ensure that genes in each hybrid population signature 1125 were specific and unique to HSC, Pre-B, and Immature B cell types, the second-highest cell type 1126 correlation coefficient was subtracted from the highest correlation coefficient for a given cell type. 1127 Additionally, to ensure that cell type signatures were not obfuscated by cell cycle, positive 1128 correlation values of genes with cell cycle scores were subtracted from the highest correlation 1129 coefficient of a given cell type. After performing these corrections, the top 30 correlated genes 1130 to HSC, Pre-B, and Immature B cell types were included in their respective hybrid gene 1131 signatures; a threshold of 30 genes was selected based on the approximate elbow in corrected

1132 correlation values for each hybrid signature. Likewise, Pro-B gene scores were defined by the
1133 top 30 differentially expressed genes in healthy Pro-B cells (Figures S6A; Table S5).

1134 Tumor cells were scored by these HSC, Pro-B, Pre-B, and Immature B gene signatures 1135 using the Seurat v4 AddModuleScore function, and consequently assigned to hybrid populations similarly to what has been described previously.⁶⁰ Single cells were classified into HSC-like, 1136 1137 PreB-like, and Immature-like hybrid populations based on their highest hybrid cell type signature 1138 score, which we required to be > 0.5 + that cell's Pro-B score. All other cells were classified as 1139 Pro-B like cells, which were characterized by strong Pro-B gene expression and weak or no co-1140 expression of other cell type hybrid signature scores. The classifications based on these hybrid 1141 score distributions and relative to their B cell lineage RF prediction probabilities is demonstrated 1142 in Figure S6B.

1143 Mutual information of transcription factor activities with tumor hybrids

1144 We sought to elucidate gene programs whose activity associated with the tumor hybrid 1145 populations defined above. Given the highly entropic co-expression of tumor hybrid signatures 1146 with Pro-B marker genes, we utilized mutual information as a metric for the potentially non-linear 1147 mutual dependence of gene expression with hybrid-defined developmental marker genes. Within 1148 respective hybrid subpopulations of each individual PDX line's pre-treatment and progression 1149 time points, we calculated the average normalized mutual information (NMI) of all highly 1150 expressed genes across the top 30 genes in each hybrid population signature, using raw gene 1151 counts as input. Within each PDX sample and hybrid population, MI values between each genegene pair were generated using R infotheo package mutinformation function with the Miller-1152 1153 Madow asymptotic bias corrected empirical estimator and normalized to scale values between 0 and 1 as a relative, comparable metric between samples.⁸³ We interpret these NMI values as 1154 1155 a metric for genes whose expression relatively scale with hybrid population identity.

1156 To identify cooperatively expressed genes that are collectively mutually informed with 1157 tumor hybrid signatures, we utilized the collectRI transcription factor accessibility database along 1158 with the decoupleR package to in silico predict mutually informed transcription factor (TF) activity 1159 with tumor hybrid identity.⁸⁴ Averaged NMI values for each PDX sample hybrid were used as 1160 input with the run ulm function to estimate the linear relationship between TF-target genes and 1161 their hybrid marker gene expression. Within each PDX samples, significant TFs were ordered 1162 by their variance in mutually informed activity between hybrid populations, and the top 30 of 1163 these TFs were selected for further inspection of scaled predicted activity between hybrid 1164 subsets. NMI values and in silico predicted TF activities for each healthy reference population 1165 (HSC for HSC-hyb, Pre-BI and Pre-BII for PreB-hyb, Immature B for ImmB-hyb) were generated

analogously and *post-hoc* compared to their leukemic hybrid counterparts (subset shown in **Figure S6D**), demonstrating that the majority of leukemic hybrid-defining TF activities were
conserved with their healthy counterparts, with a couple of TFs.

1169 Defining developmental skews in Smart-seq2 PDX samples

1170 Given the paucity of RF-classified immature B cells in the SS2 leukemic dataset (Figure S8A), 1171 we identified genes that were Pearson correlated with Pre-B RF probabilities and with progenitor 1172 population (HSC, GMP, Pro-Mono, Early-Erythroid) probabilities. We found that genes 1173 correlated with progenitor RF probabilities negatively correlated with Pre-B RF probabilities in 1174 leukemic cells and vice versa, enabling us to define a spectrum of differentiation between 1175 progenitor and later-stage B cell developmental stages (Figures S8C & S8D). Progenitor-like 1176 and PreB-like scores were generated by scoring leukemic cells over the top 30 genes 1177 significantly correlated to their respective RF probabilities (**Table S7**). Each cell's location on the 1178 leukemic differentiation spectrum was defined by its (PreB-like score – Progenitor-like score).

1179 Identifying somatic variants in full-length Smart-seq2 (SS2) scRNA-seq libraries

1180 Each sample's SS2 FASTQ files were aligned to hg19 using STAR (version 2.6.0c) and then sorted and indexed with SAMtools (version 1.13).85,86 16 genomic loci, nominated based on 1181 1182 recurrently identified SNVs from bulk RNA-seq in the genes KRAS, NRAS, PTPN11, GNB1, 1183 ABL1, and STAT5A (Figure S9A: Table S3), were assessed for wild-type or mutant transcript 1184 detection by a custom script utilizing the Pysam library (version 0.16.0.1).⁸⁷ In particular, for each 1185 locus of interest, each cell was marked as "NC" if there was no coverage at the locus, marked 1186 with 0 if all overlapping reads matched the reference allele, or marked as mutant if there were 1187 overlapping reads that did not match the reference allele.

1188 Predicting chromosomal number variations (CNVs) in SS2 scRNA-seq libraries with 1189 inferCNV

1190 To identify SS2 leukemic cells harboring CNVs and *in silico* elucidate subclonal heterogeneity 1191 within tumors, we estimated single-cell CNVs as previously described by computing the average 1192 expression in a sliding window of 100 genes within each chromosome after sorting the detected genes by their hg19 genome-defined chromosomal coordinates.^{88,89} We used all healthy bone 1193 1194 marrow SS2 cells identified above (Figure S8B) as reference normal populations for this 1195 analysis. Complete information on the inferCNV workflow used for this analysis can be found 1196 here: https://github.com/broadinstitute/inferCNV/wiki, using baseline input parameters for SS2 1197 data and for the i6 HMM algorithm for confident CNV-positive or negative predictions in single-1198 cells.

1199 Module scoring single-cell transcriptomes

1200 Module scores of all gene signatures over single-cells were annotated using the Seurat v4 1201 AddModuleScore function, which calculates the average expression levels of genes in a gene 1202 list relative to all other genes with comparable normalized gene expression. Quiescent cells were 1203 binned based on positive scores for a literature-derived guiescence gene signature derived from 1204 human hematopoietic cells.⁹⁰ We utilized previously established signatures for G1/S (n=43 genes) and G2/M (n=55 genes) to place each cell along this dynamic process;⁸⁹ after inspecting 1205 1206 the distribution of scores in the complete dataset, we considered any cell > 1.5 SD above the 1207 mean for either the G1/S or the G2/M scores to be cycling.¹⁶ Senescence scores were derived 1208 from the top 50 genes significantly differentially expressed in the SS2 DFAB-25157 RAS-mutant 1209 cells in remission compared to all other RAS-mutant SS2 cells (Figure 4F; Table S8).

1210 Defining stress-autophagy, pre-BCR signaling, and inflammation transcriptional 1211 programs at remission

1212 To define heterogeneous, correlated transcriptional states defining PDX tumors that emerge in 1213 MRD, we first performed differential gene expression analysis between paired pre-treatment and 1214 MRD cells within the same PDX line to identify genes that significantly increase expression at 1215 remission. A total of 40 MRD state-defining genes were identified based on significant 1216 upregulation in at least two PDX-specific MRD differentially expressed gene (DEG) lists. 1217 Performing gene-gene Pearson correlation across the expression of these 40 shared MRD-high DEGs in all remission leukemic cells revealed three correlated modules of genes. To expand 1218 1219 these three modules, we identified the top 30 genes significantly correlated (>2 standard 1220 deviations above median Pearson correlation) with the top differentially expressed gene in each 1221 module (**Table S9**). Pathway enrichment of significantly correlated genes was performed over 1222 msigDB Reactome gene sets for functional annotation, and to nominate targeted inhibitors of 1223 state (Figures S10C).

- 1224 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
- 1225
- 1226 Table S1. Clinical characteristics of patients whose tumors were used to generate PDX
- 1227 models.
- 1228 Related to Figure 1
- 1229 Table S2. Characteristics of PDX models.
- 1230 Related to Figure 1
- 1231 Table S3. Detected Alterations in PDX Leukemias.
- 1232 Related to Figure 1
- 1233 Table S4. cNMF meta-GEP gene lists.
- 1234 Related to Figure 2
- 1235 Table S5. Seq-Well derived Tumor Hybrid signatures.
- 1236 Related to Figure 2
- 1237 **Table S6. Patient characteristics and clinical trial outcomes.**
- 1238 Related to Figure 3
- 1239 Table S7. SS2-derived Tumor Hybrid signatures.
- 1240 Related to Figure 4
- 1241 Table S8. Senescence-Like signature.
- 1242 Related to Figures 4
- 1243 Table S9. MRD State signatures.
- 1244 Related to Figure 5
- 1245 **Table S10. Flow cytometry antibodies.**
- 1246 Related to Methods
- 1247 **Figures S1-11**.

Supplemental Figure 1



Term	N (%)	HR (95% CI)	p-value	
Patient race				
Unknown	51 (18)	Reference		1
White or Asian	164 (59)	0.95 (0.69, 1.31)	0.76	⊢∎→
Black or Hispanic	63 (23)	1.05 (0.72, 1.53)	0.82	⊢∎→
Patient age				
10-17.99	40 (14)	Reference		l l
<1 or 18-24.99	66 (24)	1.98 (1.32, 2.98)	0.0010	
35-54.99	108 (39)	1.70 (1.16, 2.50)	0.0064	⊢
55-70	64 (23)	1.16 (0.78, 1.73)	0.47	⊢+∎−−−4
Patient sex (age<18)				
Female	99 (36)	Reference		
Male	179 (64)	0.97 (0.75, 1.24)	0.80	⊢∎→
WBC count (103/uL)				
<50,000 or Unk.	101 (36)	Reference		l l
>300,000	177 (64)	0.50 (0.39, 0.65)	< 0.001 H	H
Trisomy 21				
No or Unknown	180 (65)	Reference		l l
Yes	98 (35)	1.03 (0.81, 1.33)	0.79	H∰HH
Hyperdiploid				1
No or Unknown	211 (76)	Reference		
Yes	67 (24)	0.89 (0.67, 1.17)	0.40	⊢∎¦-i
Risk 9p deletion				1
No or Unknown	217 (78)	Reference		1
Yes	61 (22)	0.90 (0.67, 1.20)	0.46	⊢∎¦⊣
IKZF1 deletion				1
No or Unknown	108 (39)	Reference		l l
Yes	170 (61)	0.99 (0.77, 1.26)	0.92	⊢≢⊣
Phase of treatment				
Untreated/Unk.	123 (44)	Reference		
Relapsed/Ref.	155 (56)	0.99 (0.78, 1.25)	0.92	H∰H
Prior lines of therapy				
2 or Unknown	10 (4)	Reference		
1	143 (51)	1.06 (0.56, 2.02)	0.85	┝╌╋───┤
3	71 (26)	1.40 (0.72, 2.72)	0.32	┝┼╴╋────┤
4	11 (4)	0.84 (0.36, 1.98)	0.69 H	
5	43 (15)	0.71 (0.35, 1.41)	0.32 ⊢	
ABL1 mutation at treatment initiation				
Unknown	138 (50)	Reference		
No	86 (31)	1.07 (0.81, 1.40)	0.64	⊢ ₽ -1
Yes	54 (19)	0.66 (0.48, 0.90)	0.0099	
			0.0	1.0 2.0 3.0
				Hazard ratio for
			prog	ression free survival

- 1249 Figure S1. *In vivo* PDX Phase II-like trial outcomes.
- 1250 Related to Figure 1
- (A) Serial peripheral blood *BCR::ABL1* qRT-PCR measurements from PDX model DFAB-13601
 treated with Ponatinib daily (40mg/kg/day); each line represents an individual mouse.
- 1253 **(B)** Key trial events and outcomes for each mouse on Phase II-like trial, grouped by treatment
- arm. Complete response indicates <4% peripheral blood circulating blasts detected via flow
- 1255 cytometry; partial response indicates reduced peripheral blood blasts compared to
- 1256 pretreatment but >1% involvement; durable response indicates complete remission past 120
- 1257 days on therapy.
- (C) Competing risks model comparing progression and non-progression mortality in mice by
 treatment arm; p-values from a Cox regression analysis indicated for differences in
 progression and non-progression outcomes between treatment arms.
- 1261 (D) Hazard ratios comparing pre-clinical risk factors for progression free survival in PDX mice
- 1262 (see **Methods**). Significant shifts (*p*<0.05 from Cox regression analysis) annotated in red.
- 1263 Median hazard ratios plotted with error bars representing ±1 quartile; "N"=number of mice;
- 1264 "HR"=hazard ratio; "CI"=confidence interval.



1265

- 1266 Figure S2. Emergent patterns in BCR::ABL1 B-ALL mutation acquisition on TKI.
- 1267 Related to Figure 1
- (A) Mutational alterations of individual PDX mice on TKI therapeutic regimen, grouped by
 disease stage and annotated by treatment arm ("Tx Arm"). Treatment emergent mutations
 indicated when mice from the same PDX line were profiled at pretreatment. Summary of
 grouped RAS or ABL pathway mutations included below. Mice are annotated for prior TKI
 exposure. "MRD"=minimal residual disease; "TFs"=transcription factors. Alteration details
- additionally reported in **Table S3**.
- (B) Change in the fraction of mice on each Phase II-like trial treatment arm that harbor mutations
 between progression and pretreatment. Genes along the ABL and RAS pathways are
 annotated in turquoise and magenta, respectively.
- 1277 (C) Change in average VAF of PDX lines at progression for mutations along the ABL or RAS
- 1278 pathways compared to paired pretreatment tumors. Error bars indicate +1 standard deviation
- 1279 from the plotted mean ΔVAF .

Supplemental Figure 3



1281 Figure S3. Intratumoral cNMF reveals developmentally convolved gene co-expression.

- 1282 Related to Figure 2
- 1283 (A) cNMF program z-scored gene spectra for the top 30 metaprogram (mGEP) genes across all
- intratumoral gene expression programs (GEPs; **Table S4**); individual GEPs are annotated
 by PDX or Patient ID to show mGEP consensus across multiple donors.
- 1286 (B) Representative heatmaps demonstrating intratumoral GEPs for one PDX tumor (DFAB-
- 1287 25157 4A0) and one patient tumor (BIAB-16768 Pretreatment). Known, healthy B cell lineage
- 1288 marker genes are annotated for each GEP.
- 1289 (C) Pearson correlation of GEP module score and random forest (RF) classification probabilities.
- 1290 Bottom color track indicates the donor where each individual GEP was identified.



- 1292 Figure S4. Generation of healthy human bone marrow scRNA-seq dataset.
- 1293 Related to Figure 2
- 1294 (A) Healthy human bone marrow samples (n = 7) were flow sorted into live bulk, CFU-L (colony-
- forming unit low; progenitor), Prim-B, Pro-B, Pre-Pre-B, Pre-B, and Immature B populations
 for scRNA-seq profiling (see **Methods**).
- 1297 (B) Proportion of each cell type identified from the bulk (gray) or flow sorted-fraction (green).
- 1298 **(C)**Force-directed graph (FDG) projection of healthy human bone marrow annotated by 1299 hematopoietic cell types (n=13,643 cells).
- 1300 (D) Dot plot of hematopoietic cell type marker genes. Color denotes scaled average expression;
- 1301 size denotes percent expression in each scRNA-seq cell type population.
- 1302 **(E)** FDG projection of healthy human bone marrow, annotated by donor.
- 1303 **(F)** Donor fractional contribution to each cell type population.



- 1305 Figure S5. Random Forest Classifier accurately classifies healthy and Ph+ ALL single-
- 1306 cell transcriptomes.
- 1307 Related to Figure 2
- 1308 (A) 10-fold cross-validation of each healthy reference cell type during RF training.
- (B) Top 200 RF features ranked by permuted feature importance, grouped by healthy referencecell type (randomly down-sampled n=100 cells).
- 1311 (C) Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curves for RF classification of test scRNA-seq
- bone marrow dataset;¹⁶ area under the ROC curve (AUC) values listed in inset for each cell
 type.
- (D) Shannon Diversity Index (SDI) of classification probabilities versus number of unique
 molecular identifier (UMI), number of genes, and percent mitochondrial transcripts for all
- 1316 leukemic cells. Cells removed from analysis due to highest non-B cell lineage classification
- 1317 are outlined in red and colored by misclassified cell type. Significant shifts in distribution
- 1318 between non-B lineage and B-lineage single-cells, as defined by a Kolmogorov-Smirnov
- 1319 (KS) test, reported (**p*<0.001).
- (E) Pearson correlation over gene expression of top 2,000 highly-variable genes from healthy
 reference dataset across healthy and malignant hybrid cell type subpopulations.



1323 Figure S6. Defining Ph+ ALL developmental tumor hybrid populations.

- 1324 Related to Figure 2
- (A) Developmental hybrid signatures defined by the top 30 genes correlated to RF prediction
 scores for each normal B-lineage cell type (Table S5). Average expression of signature
 genes across leukemic hybrid populations.
- (B) Classification of leukemic hybrid populations based on random forest (RF) classification
 probabilities and hybrid signatures (see Methods). RF prediction probabilities, cycling or
 quiescent status, and PDX line or Patient ID annotated for each cell.
- (C) Leukemic hybrid subpopulations projected onto RF prediction probability axes, as in Figure
 2H. Densities of leukemia cells from each hybrid population projected over the landscape of
 all leukemia cells in the scRNA-seq dataset (plotted in grey).
- (D) Scaled *in silico* predicted transcription factor (TF) activity over genes associated with developmental hybrid gene signatures (see Methods). Scaled TF activity scores shown in human reference samples (green) and PDX lines at pretreatment (grey) and progression (red), subset to TFs whose predicted activity scale with HSC, Pre-B, and Immature B RF classification probabilities in leukemic cells. Healthy reference Pre-BI and Pre-BII populations plotted independently within Pre-B.



- 1341 Figure S7. Transcriptional and immunophenotype shifts on therapy.
- 1342 Related to Figure 3
- (A) Hybrid scRNA-seq population distributions for each profiled pretreatment and progression
 PDX mouse, annotated by treatment arm and time on treatment.
- (B) Flow sorting gating strategy for B cell progenitor populations on a representative healthy
 human umbilical cord blood sample, PDX pre-treatment tumor, and PDX progression tumor
 (representative PDX=CBAB-75914).
- 1348 (C) Fraction representation of PDX pretreatment and progression tumors across 1349 immunophenotyped B cell progenitor-like populations. Individual tumor immunophenotyped 1350 population fractions plotted as points; bars represent average tumor fraction within each 1351 immunophenotyped population at pretreatment or progression time points, including error 1352 bars for ± 1 standard deviation. Surface markers used for flow gating of each population, as 1353 shown in (B), annotated below. 1354 (D) Fraction of PDX tumor at progression of each immunophenotyped B cell progenitor-like
- population, grouped by mutation status at progression of each infinitumophenotyped B cell progenitor-like population, grouped by mutation status at progression; bars represent average tumor fraction, with error bars for ± 1 standard deviation. Significant p-values from Dirichlet regression noted; ***p*<0.01 and ****p*<0.001.

Supplemental Figure 8





- 1359 Figure S8. Random Forest (RF) Classifier recovers developmental structure in Smart-
- 1360 Seq2 single-cell transcriptomes.
- 1361 Related to Figure 4
- (A) Proportion of RF cell type classifications across all Smart-Seq2 (SS2) healthy and leukemiccells.
- (B) Single-cells ordered by RF prediction probabilities from progenitor cell types to differentiated
 B cell types, and annotated by flow sort gate (as in Figure S4A). Below, scaled expression
 of the top 10 RF prediction-correlated genes in developmentally-ordered healthy cells.
- (C) Genes correlated to Pre-B RF prediction (x-axis) and genes correlated to Progenitor RF
 prediction are negatively correlated with each other; rho and p-value from Pearson
 correlation noted. Colored points represent the top 30 progenitor and Pre-B correlated genes
 used to define the SS2 developmental spectrum.
- (D) Leukemic SS2 single-cells ranked by Progenitor-like score, annotated by B cell lineage RF
 prediction probabilities. Below, scaled expression of top 30 Progenitor-like and PreB-like
 signature genes (Table S7).
- 1374 (E) Pearson cross-correlation of RF cell type-correlated gene signature scores derived from Seq-
- 1375 Well and SS2 show cross-modality concordance. For clarity, SS2 signatures are hereafter
- 1376 referenced as "HSC-hyb" for Progenitor-like scores, and "PreB-hyb" for PreB-like scores.



1377

- 1378 **Figure S9. SS2 enables co-detection of mutations and transcriptome in leukemic single-**1379 **cells.**
- 1380 Related to Figure 4
- 1381 (A) Summary of recurrently-identified RAS-pathway and ABL-pathway mutation loci from bulk
- targeted sequencing across PDX lines that were aligned for mutation detection in SS2
 FASTQs (see Methods; Table S3).
- (B) For genes with recurrently-identified mutations, Pearson correlation of average gene
 expression and normalized mutation-locus detection rate (either mutant or wild-type reads).
- (C) Mutant and wild-type transcripts detected in SS2 single-cell transcriptomes from three
 representative PDX tumors; detected mutant transcript frequency in single-cells matched
 bulk VAF.
- (D) Single-cell CNV profiles across each PDX line, including instances of CNV subclonal
 heterogeneity, paired with SS2-detected SNVs.
- (E) SS2 single-cells within each profiled PDX line ordered by HSC-hyb expression scores, as
 defined in Figure S8D. Cycling status, CNV detection, and detected mutant and wild-type
 transcripts are annotated. Co-mutant indicates single-cells where RAS and ABL pathway
 mutations were detected.
- (F) t-SNE projection of SS2 single-cells from representative PDX lines CBAB-12402, DFAB 62208, and DFAB-25157, colored by treatment time point. Number of SS2-profiled cells and
 mice at each time point denoted (n=cells, x=mice).
- (G)All RAS-pathway mutant leukemic single-cells grouped by three treatment timepoints,
 annotated by *KRAS* or *NRAS* mutant transcript detection, and ordered by HSC-hyb signature
 scores within SS2 single-cells from DFAB-25157 and non-DFAB-25157 PDX lines
 demonstrates association between senescence-like and HSC-hyb gene expression scores
 across PDX lines and treatment stages.
- (H) Cells from DFAB-25157 and DFAB-62208 at MRD and Progression, plot along fitness
 quadrants as defined in Figure 4H, with RAS-mutant leukemia cells annotated in red.



- 1406 Figure S10. Targeting integrative cell states enhances remission.
- 1407 Related to Figure 5
- 1408 (A) All MRD single-cells ordered by Pre-BCR Signaling MRD state scores. CNV and SNV
- 1409 mutation status annotated for each cell, along with cycling and quiescent status. *P*-values
- 1410 reported from Fisher exact test comparing abundance of KRAS-mutant, quiescent, and/or
- 1411 cycling MRD cells with dominant Stress/Autophagy ("Stress/Auto.") expression scores to
- 1412 those with dominant Pre-BCR Signaling expression scores.
- (B) Correlation between Stress/Autophagy and HSC-hyb gene expression, versus Pre-BCR
 signaling and PreB-hyb gene expression. Cycling cells annotated in red.
- 1415 (C) Pathway enrichment false discovery rate (FDR) q-values for the top 100 genes in the
 1416 Stress/Autophagy MRD state.
- 1417 **(D)** Boxplot of relative MRD program (Pre-BCR Signaling Stress/Autophagy) in MRD cells from
- 1418 DFAB-25157 and DFAB-62208; single-cell scores from each PDX-line plotted as individual
- 1419 points.



- 1421 Figure S11. Mass correlates with developmental states and cell cycle.
- 1422 Related to Figure 6
- (A) Mass of healthy reference SS2 cells, binned by random forest-classified cell type and
 annotated by cell-type marker gene expression. Mean mass for each cell type plotted as a
 line.
- (B) Force directed graph (FDG) visualization of healthy SS2 cells, annotated by cell type (top)
 and by cell mass (bottom); dot size indicates cell mass.
- 1428 (C) Mass-correlated genes in healthy SS2 cells on the x-axis, versus the difference between
- 1429 genes correlated with RF progenitor and Pre-B cell types in healthy SS2 cells on the y-axis.
- 1430 Colored points denote marker genes for each cell type. R and p-value denote Pearson
- 1431 correlation between x- and y-axis indicated gene correlations.

1432**REFERENCES**

- 1433 1. Byrgazov, K., Lucini, C.B., Valent, P., Hantschel, O., and Lion, T. (2018). BCR-ABL1
 1434 compound mutants display differential and dose-dependent responses to ponatinib.
 1435 Haematologica *103*, e10–e12. https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2017.176347.
- Soverini, S., De Benedittis, C., Papayannidis, C., Paolini, S., Venturi, C., Iacobucci, I., Luppi,
 M., Bresciani, P., Salvucci, M., Russo, D., et al. (2014). Drug resistance and BCR-ABL kinase
 domain mutations in Philadelphia chromosome–positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia from
 the imatinib to the second-generation tyrosine kinase inhibitor era: The main changes are in
 the type of mutations, but not in the frequency of mutation involvement. Cancer *120*, 1002–
 1009. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.28522.
- 1442 3. Eide, C.A., Zabriskie, M.S., Savage Stevens, S.L., Antelope, O., Vellore, N.A., Than, H., 1443 Schultz, A.R., Clair, P., Bowler, A.D., Pomicter, A.D., et al. (2019). Combining the Allosteric 1444 Inhibitor Asciminib with Ponatinib Suppresses Emergence of and Restores Efficacy against 1445 Highly Resistant BCR-ABL1 Mutants. Cancer Cell 431-443.e5. 36, 1446 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2019.08.004.
- 4. Bhang, H.C., Ruddy, D.A., Krishnamurthy Radhakrishna, V., Caushi, J.X., Zhao, R., Hims,
 M.M., Singh, A.P., Kao, I., Rakiec, D., Shaw, P., et al. (2015). Studying clonal dynamics in
 response to cancer therapy using high-complexity barcoding. Nat Med *21*, 440–448.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3841.
- 1451 5. Hochhaus, A., Kreil, S., Corbin, A.S., La Rosée, P., Müller, M.C., Lahaye, T., Hanfstein, B., 1452 Schoch, C., Cross, N.C.P., Berger, U., et al. (2002). Molecular and chromosomal mechanisms 1453 of resistance to imatinib (STI571) therapy. Leukemia 16. 2190-2196. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.leu.2402741. 1454
- 6. Good, Z., Sarno, J., Jager, A., Samusik, N., Aghaeepour, N., Simonds, E.F., White, L.,
 Lacayo, N.J., Fantl, W.J., Fazio, G., et al. (2018). Single-cell developmental classification of
 B cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia at diagnosis reveals predictors of relapse. Nat
 Med 24, 474–483. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4505.
- 7. Huang, X., Li, Y., Zhang, J., Yan, L., Zhao, H., Ding, L., Bhatara, S., Yang, X., Yoshimura, S.,
 Yang, W., et al. (2024). Single-cell systems pharmacology identifies development-driven drug
 response and combination therapy in B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer Cell *42*,
 552-567.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2024.03.003.
- 1463 8. Kim, J.C., Chan-Seng-Yue, M., Ge, S., Zeng, A.G.X., Ng, K., Gan, O.I., Garcia-Prat, L., Flores1464 Figueroa, E., Woo, T., Zhang, A.X.W., et al. (2023). Transcriptomic classes of BCR-ABL1
 1465 lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat Genet *55*, 1186–1197. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-0231466 01429-4.
- 9. Bastian, L., Beder, T., Barz, M.J., Bendig, S., Bartsch, L., Walter, W., Wolgast, N., Brändl, B.,
 Rohrandt, C., Hansen, B.-T., et al. (2024). Developmental trajectories and cooperating
 genomic events define molecular subtypes of BCR::ABL1-positive ALL. Blood *143*, 1391–
 1398. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2023021752.
- 1471 10. Chan, L.N., Murakami, M.A., Robinson, M.E., Caeser, R., Sadras, T., Lee, J., Cosgun, K.N.,
 1472 Kume, K., Khairnar, V., Xiao, G., et al. (2020). Signalling input from divergent pathways
 1473 subverts B cell transformation. Nature *583*, 845–851. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-0201474 2513-4.

- 1475 11. Ebinger, S., Özdemir, E.Z., Ziegenhain, C., Tiedt, S., Castro Alves, C., Grunert, M., Dworzak,
 1476 M., Lutz, C., Turati, V.A., Enver, T., et al. (2016). Characterization of Rare, Dormant, and
 1477 Therapy-Resistant Cells in Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. Cancer Cell *30*, 849–862.
 1478 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2016.11.002.
- 1479
 12. Hurtz, C., Wertheim, G.B., Loftus, J.P., Blumenthal, D., Lehman, A., Li, Y., Bagashev, A.,
 1480
 1481
 1481
 1481
 1481
 1482
 130, 3637–3653. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI134424.
- 1483 13. Giustacchini, A., Thongjuea, S., Barkas, N., Woll, P.S., Povinelli, B.J., Booth, C.A.G., Sopp,
 1484 P., Norfo, R., Rodriguez-Meira, A., Ashley, N., et al. (2017). Single-cell transcriptomics
 1485 uncovers distinct molecular signatures of stem cells in chronic myeloid leukemia. Nat Med 23,
 1486 692–702. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4336.
- 1487
 14. Eadie, L.N., Saunders, V.A., Branford, S., White, D.L., and Hughes, T.P. (2018). The new allosteric inhibitor asciminib is susceptible to resistance mediated by ABCB1 and ABCG2 overexpression in vitro. Oncotarget 9, 13423–13437.
 1490 https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.24393.
- 1491 15. Fennell, K.A., Vassiliadis, D., Lam, E.Y.N., Martelotto, L.G., Balic, J.J., Hollizeck, S., Weber,
 1492 T.S., Semple, T., Wang, Q., Miles, D.C., et al. (2022). Non-genetic determinants of malignant
 1493 clonal fitness at single-cell resolution. Nature *601*, 125–131. https://doi.org/10.1038/s415861494 021-04206-7.
- 1495 16. van Galen, P., Hovestadt, V., Wadsworth II, M.H., Hughes, T.K., Griffin, G.K., Battaglia, S.,
 1496 Verga, J.A., Stephansky, J., Pastika, T.J., Lombardi Story, J., et al. (2019). Single-Cell RNA1497 Seq Reveals AML Hierarchies Relevant to Disease Progression and Immunity. Cell *176*,
 1498 1265-1281.e24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.01.031.
- 1499 17. Velten, L., Story, B.A., Hernández-Malmierca, P., Raffel, S., Leonce, D.R., Milbank, J.,
 1500 Paulsen, M., Demir, A., Szu-Tu, C., Frömel, R., et al. (2021). Identification of leukemic and
 1501 pre-leukemic stem cells by clonal tracking from single-cell transcriptomics. Nat Commun *12*,
 1502 1366. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21650-1.
- 18. Nam, A.S., Kim, K.-T., Chaligne, R., Izzo, F., Ang, C., Taylor, J., Myers, R.M., Abu-Zeinah,
 G., Brand, R., Omans, N.D., et al. (2019). Somatic mutations and cell identity linked by
 Genotyping of Transcriptomes. Nature *571*, 355–360. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-0191367-0.
- 1507 19. Li, Q., Bohin, N., Wen, T., Ng, V., Magee, J., Chen, S.-C., Shannon, K., and Morrison, S.J.
 (2013). Oncogenic Nras has bimodal effects on stem cells that sustainably increase competitiveness. Nature *504*, 143–147. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12830.
- 1510 20. Robinson, T.M., Bowman, R.L., Persaud, S., Liu, Y., Neigenfind, R., Gao, Q., Zhang, J., Sun,
 1511 X., Miles, L.A., Cai, S.F., et al. (2023). Single-cell genotypic and phenotypic analysis of
 1512 measurable residual disease in acute myeloid leukemia. Sci Adv 9, eadg0488.
 1513 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adg0488.
- 1514 21. Luskin, M.R., Murakami, M.A., Manalis, S.R., and Weinstock, D.M. (2018). Targeting minimal 1515 18, disease: cure? Rev Cancer 255-263. residual а path to Nat 1516 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2017.125.

- 1517 22. Tettero, J.M., Ngai, L.L., Bachas, C., Breems, D.A., Fischer, T., Gjertsen, B.T., Gradowska,
 1518 P., Griskevicius, L., Janssen, J.J.W.M., Juliusson, G., et al. (2023). Measurable residual
 1519 disease-guided therapy in intermediate-risk acute myeloid leukemia patients is a valuable
 1520 strategy in reducing allogeneic transplantation without negatively affecting survival.
 1521 Haematologica *108*, 2794–2798. https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2022.282639.
- 1522 23. Dekker, S.E., Rea, D., Cayuela, J.-M., Arnhardt, I., Leonard, J., and Heuser, M. (2023). Using 1523 Measurable Residual Disease to Optimize Management of AML, ALL, and Chronic Myeloid 1524 Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book 43. e390010. Leukemia. Am 1525 https://doi.org/10.1200/EDBK 390010.
- 1526 24. Wieduwilt, M.J. (2022). Ph+ ALL in 2022: is there an optimal approach? Hematology Am Soc
 1527 Hematol Educ Program 2022, 206–212. https://doi.org/10.1182/hematology.2022000338.
- 1528 25. Agudo, J., Aguirre-Ghiso, J.A., Bhatia, M., Chodosh, L.A., Correia, A.L., and Klein, C.A.
 1529 (2024). Targeting cancer cell dormancy. Nat Rev Cancer 24, 97–104.
 1530 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-023-00642-x.
- 1531 26. Wylie, A.A., Schoepfer, J., Jahnke, W., Cowan-Jacob, S.W., Loo, A., Furet, P., Marzinzik,
 1532 A.L., Pelle, X., Donovan, J., Zhu, W., et al. (2017). The allosteric inhibitor ABL001 enables
 1533 dual targeting of BCR–ABL1. Nature *543*, 733–737. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21702.
- 1534 27. Townsend, E.C., Murakami, M.A., Christodoulou, A., Christie, A.L., Köster, J., DeSouza,
 1535 T.A., Morgan, E.A., Kallgren, S.P., Liu, H., Wu, S.-C., et al. (2016). The Public Repository of
 1536 Xenografts Enables Discovery and Randomized Phase II-like Trials in Mice. Cancer Cell 29,
 1537 574–586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2016.03.008.
- 1538 28. Gökbuget, N., Stanze, D., Beck, J., Diedrich, H., Horst, H.-A., Hüttmann, A., Kobbe, G., 1539 Kreuzer, K.-A., Leimer, L., Reichle, A., et al. (2012). Outcome of relapsed adult lymphoblastic 1540 leukemia depends on response to salvage chemotherapy, prognostic factors, and 1541 performance transplantation. of stem cell Blood 120. 2032-2041. 1542 https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2011-12-399287.
- 1543 29. Martinelli, G., Iacobucci, I., Storlazzi, C.T., Vignetti, M., Paoloni, F., Cilloni, D., Soverini, S.,
 1544 Vitale, A., Chiaretti, S., Cimino, G., et al. (2009). IKZF1 (Ikaros) deletions in BCR-ABL11545 positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia are associated with short disease-free survival and high
 1546 rate of cumulative incidence of relapse: a GIMEMA AL WP report. J Clin Oncol 27, 5202–
 1547 5207. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2008.21.6408.
- 30. Pfeifer, H., Wassmann, B., Pavlova, A., Wunderle, L., Oldenburg, J., Binckebanck, A.,
 Lange, T., Hochhaus, A., Wystub, S., Brück, P., et al. (2007). Kinase domain mutations of
 BCR-ABL frequently precede imatinib-based therapy and give rise to relapse in patients with
 de novo Philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Ph+ ALL). Blood *110*, 727–734.
 https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2006-11-052373.
- 31. Hughes, T.K., Wadsworth, M.H., Gierahn, T.M., Do, T., Weiss, D., Andrade, P.R., Ma, F., de
 Andrade Silva, B.J., Shao, S., Tsoi, L.C., et al. (2020). Second-Strand Synthesis-Based
 Massively Parallel scRNA-Seq Reveals Cellular States and Molecular Features of Human
 Inflammatory Skin Pathologies. Immunity 53, 878-894.e7.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2020.09.015.
- 32. Baccin, C., Al-Sabah, J., Velten, L., Helbling, P.M., Grünschläger, F., Hernández-Malmierca,
 P., Nombela-Arrieta, C., Steinmetz, L.M., Trumpp, A., and Haas, S. (2020). Combined single-

- 1560 cell and spatial transcriptomics reveal the molecular, cellular and spatial bone marrow niche 1561 organization. Nat Cell Biol 22, 38–48. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-019-0439-6.
- 33. Slobodnyuk, K., Radic, N., Ivanova, S., Llado, A., Trempolec, N., Zorzano, A., and Nebreda,
 A.R. (2019). Autophagy-induced senescence is regulated by p38α signaling. Cell Death Dis
 10, 376. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-019-1607-0.
- 34. Naka, K., Jomen, Y., Ishihara, K., Kim, J., Ishimoto, T., Bae, E.-J., Mohney, R.P., Stirdivant,
 S.M., Oshima, H., Oshima, M., et al. (2015). Dipeptide species regulate p38MAPK-Smad3
 signalling to maintain chronic myelogenous leukaemia stem cells. Nat Commun *6*, 8039.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9039.
- 35. Sui, X., Kong, N., Ye, L., Han, W., Zhou, J., Zhang, Q., He, C., and Pan, H. (2014). p38 and
 JNK MAPK pathways control the balance of apoptosis and autophagy in response to
 chemotherapeutic agents. Cancer Lett 344, 174–179.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2013.11.019.
- 1573 36. Naeim, F., Song, S.X., Rao, P.N., and Phan, R.T. (2018). Atlas of Hematopathology:
 1574 Morphology, Immunophenotype, Cytogenetics, and Molecular Approaches 2nd ed. (Elsevier,
 1575 Inc).
- 37. Burg, T.P., Godin, M., Knudsen, S.M., Shen, W., Carlson, G., Foster, J.S., Babcock, K., and
 Manalis, S.R. (2007). Weighing of biomolecules, single cells and single nanoparticles in fluid.
 Nature 446, 1066–1069. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05741.
- 1579 38. Feijó Delgado, F., Cermak, N., Hecht, V.C., Son, S., Li, Y., Knudsen, S.M., Olcum, S.,
 1580 Higgins, J.M., Chen, J., Grover, W.H., et al. (2013). Intracellular water exchange for measuring
 1581 the dry mass, water mass and changes in chemical composition of living cells. PLoS One *8*,
 1582 e67590. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0067590.
- 39. Hecht, V.C., Sullivan, L.B., Kimmerling, R.J., Kim, D.-H., Hosios, A.M., Stockslager, M.A.,
 Stevens, M.M., Kang, J.H., Wirtz, D., Vander Heiden, M.G., et al. (2016). Biophysical changes
 reduce energetic demand in growth factor-deprived lymphocytes. J Cell Biol *212*, 439–447.
 https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201506118.
- 40. Cermak, N., Olcum, S., Delgado, F.F., Wasserman, S.C., Payer, K.R., A Murakami, M.,
 Knudsen, S.M., Kimmerling, R.J., Stevens, M.M., Kikuchi, Y., et al. (2016). High-throughput
 measurement of single-cell growth rates using serial microfluidic mass sensor arrays. Nat
 Biotechnol *34*, 1052–1059. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3666.
- 41. Kimmerling, R.J., Prakadan, S.M., Gupta, A.J., Calistri, N.L., Stevens, M.M., Olcum, S.,
 Cermak, N., Drake, R.S., Pelton, K., De Smet, F., et al. (2018). Linking single-cell
 measurements of mass, growth rate, and gene expression. Genome Biology *19*, 207.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-018-1576-0.
- 1595 42. Neurohr, G.E., Terry, R.L., Lengefeld, J., Bonney, M., Brittingham, G.P., Moretto, F.,
 1596 Miettinen, T.P., Vaites, L.P., Soares, L.M., Paulo, J.A., et al. (2019). Excessive Cell Growth
 1597 Causes Cytoplasm Dilution And Contributes to Senescence. Cell *176*, 1083-1097.e18.
 1598 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.01.018.
- 43. Son, S., Tzur, A., Weng, Y., Jorgensen, P., Kim, J., Kirschner, M.W., and Manalis, S.R.
 (2012). Direct observation of mammalian cell growth and size regulation. Nat Methods 9, 910– 912. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2133.

- 44. Miettinen, T.P., Kang, J.H., Yang, L.F., and Manalis, S.R. (2019). Mammalian cell growth
 dynamics in mitosis. Elife *8*, e44700. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.44700.
- 45. Marquart, J., Chen, E.Y., and Prasad, V. (2018). Estimation of the Percentage of US Patients
 With Cancer Who Benefit From Genome-Driven Oncology. JAMA Oncol *4*, 1093–1098.
 https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.1660.
- 46. Letai, A. (2017). Functional precision cancer medicine—moving beyond pure genomics. Nat
 Med 23, 1028–1035. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4389.
- 47. Letai, A., Bhola, P., and Welm, A.L. (2022). Functional precision oncology: Testing tumors
 with drugs to identify vulnerabilities and novel combinations. Cancer Cell *40*, 26–35.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2021.12.004.
- 48. Braun, T.P., Eide, C.A., and Druker, B.J. (2020). Response and Resistance to BCR-ABL1Targeted Therapies. Cancer Cell *37*, 530–542. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2020.03.006.
- 49. Boumahdi, S., and de Sauvage, F.J. (2020). The great escape: tumour cell plasticity in
 resistance to targeted therapy. Nat Rev Drug Discov 19, 39–56.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-019-0044-1.
- 1617 50. Duy, C., Li, M., Teater, M., Meydan, C., Garrett-Bakelman, F.E., Lee, T.C., Chin, C.R.,
 1618 Durmaz, C., Kawabata, K.C., Dhimolea, E., et al. (2021). Chemotherapy Induces
 1619 Senescence-Like Resilient Cells Capable of Initiating AML Recurrence. Cancer Discov *11*,
 1620 1542–1561. https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-1375.
- 1621 51. Aggarwal, R., Huang, J., Alumkal, J.J., Zhang, L., Feng, F.Y., Thomas, G.V., Weinstein, A.S.,
 1622 Friedl, V., Zhang, C., Witte, O.N., et al. (2018). Clinical and Genomic Characterization of
 1623 Treatment-Emergent Small-Cell Neuroendocrine Prostate Cancer: A Multi-institutional
 1624 Prospective Study. JCO *36*, 2492–2503. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2017.77.6880.
- 52. Uruga, H., Fujii, T., Nakamura, N., Moriguchi, S., Kishi, K., and Takaya, H. (2020). Squamous
 cell transformation as a mechanism of acquired resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitor in
 EGFR-mutated lung adenocarcinoma: a report of two cases. Respirology Case Reports *8*,
 e00521. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcr2.521.
- 53. Sequist, L.V., Waltman, B.A., Dias-Santagata, D., Digumarthy, S., Turke, A.B., Fidias, P.,
 Bergethon, K., Shaw, A.T., Gettinger, S., Cosper, A.K., et al. (2011). Genotypic and
 Histological Evolution of Lung Cancers Acquiring Resistance to EGFR Inhibitors. Science
 Translational Medicine *3*, 75ra26-75ra26. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3002003.
- 54. Wang, Y.-C., Morrison, G., Gillihan, R., Guo, J., Ward, R.M., Fu, X., Botero, M.F., Healy,
 N.A., Hilsenbeck, S.G., Phillips, G.L., et al. (2011). Different mechanisms for resistance to
 trastuzumab versus lapatinib in HER2-positive breast cancers--role of estrogen receptor and
 HER2 reactivation. Breast Cancer Res *13*, R121. https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr3067.
- 1637 55. Luskin, M.R., Murakami, M.A., Keating, J., Winer, E.S., Garcia, J.S., Stahl, M., Wadleigh,
 1638 M., Flamand, Y., Neuberg, D.S., Galinsky, I., et al. (2023). A Phase I Study of Asciminib
 1639 (ABL001) in Combination with Dasatinib and Prednisone for BCR-ABL1-Positive ALL and
 1640 Blast Phase CML in Adults. Blood *142*, 965. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-174246.
- 1641 56. Keating, A.K., Gossai, N., Phillips, C.L., Maloney, K., Campbell, K., Doan, A., Bhojwani, D., 1642 Burke, M.J., and Verneris, M.R. (2019). Reducing minimal residual disease with
bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.06.597767; this version posted June 10, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

- 1643 blinatumomab prior to HCT for pediatric patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood 1644 Advances 3, 1926–1929. https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2018025726.
- 57. Gökbuget, N., Zugmaier, G., Dombret, H., Stein, A., Bonifacio, M., Graux, C., Faul, C.,
 Brüggemann, M., Taylor, K., Mergen, N., et al. (2020). Curative outcomes following
 blinatumomab in adults with minimal residual disease B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic
 leukemia. Leukemia & Lymphoma 61, 2665–2673.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/10428194.2020.1780583.
- 58. Salek, M., Li, N., Chou, H.-P., Saini, K., Jovic, A., Jacobs, K.B., Johnson, C., Lu, V., Lee,
 E.J., Chang, C., et al. (2023). COSMOS: a platform for real-time morphology-based, labelfree cell sorting using deep learning. Commun Biol *6*, 971. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003023-05325-9.
- 1654 59. Chan, J.M., Zaidi, S., Love, J.R., Zhao, J.L., Setty, M., Wadosky, K.M., Gopalan, A., Choo,
 1655 Z.-N., Persad, S., Choi, J., et al. (2022). Lineage plasticity in prostate cancer depends on
 1656 JAK/STAT inflammatory signaling. Science 377, 1180–1191.
 1657 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abn0478.
- 1658 60. Raghavan, S., Winter, P.S., Navia, A.W., Williams, H.L., DenAdel, A., Lowder, K.E., Galvez1659 Reyes, J., Kalekar, R.L., Mulugeta, N., Kapner, K.S., et al. (2021). Microenvironment drives
 1660 cell state, plasticity, and drug response in pancreatic cancer. Cell *184*, 6119-6137.e26.
 1661 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.11.017.
- 1662
 61. Rambow, F., Rogiers, A., Marin-Bejar, O., Aibar, S., Femel, J., Dewaele, M., Karras, P.,
 1663
 Brown, D., Chang, Y.H., Debiec-Rychter, M., et al. (2018). Toward Minimal Residual Disease1664
 Directed Therapy in Melanoma. Cell 174, 843-855.e19.
 1665
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.025.
- 1666 62. Hahn, W.C., Bader, J.S., Braun, T.P., Califano, A., Clemons, P.A., Druker, B.J., Ewald, A.J.,
 1667 Fu, H., Jagu, S., Kemp, C.J., et al. (2021). An expanded universe of cancer targets. Cell *184*,
 1668 1142–1155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.02.020.
- 1669 63. Odejide, O., Weigert, O., Lane, A.A., Toscano, D., Lunning, M.A., Kopp, N., Kim, S., van
 1670 Bodegom, D., Bolla, S., Schatz, J.H., et al. (2014). A targeted mutational landscape of
 1671 angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma. Blood *123*, 1293–1296. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood1672 2013-10-531509.
- 1673 64. Kluk, M.J., Lindsley, R.C., Aster, J.C., Lindeman, N.I., Szeto, D., Hall, D., and Kuo, F.C.
 1674 (2016). Validation and Implementation of a Custom Next-Generation Sequencing Clinical
 1675 Assay for Hematologic Malignancies. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics *18*, 507–515.
 1676 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2016.02.003.
- 1677 65. Stockslager, M.A., Malinowski, S., Touat, M., Yoon, J.C., Geduldig, J., Mirza, M., Kim, A.S.,
 1678 Wen, P.Y., Chow, K.-H., Ligon, K.L., et al. (2021). Functional drug susceptibility testing using
 1679 single-cell mass predicts treatment outcome in patient-derived cancer neurosphere models.
 1680 Cell Reports 37, 109788. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109788.
- 1681 66. Trombetta, J.J., Gennert, D., Lu, D., Satija, R., Shalek, A.K., and Regev, A. (2014).
 1682 Preparation of Single-Cell RNA-Seq Libraries for Next Generation Sequencing. Current
 1683 Protocols in Molecular Biology 107, 4.22.1-4.22.17.
 1684 https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142727.mb0422s107.
- 1685 67. Therneau, T. (2024). A Package for Survival Analysis in R.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.06.597767; this version posted June 10, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

- 1686 68. Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler
 1687 transform. Bioinformatics 25, 1754–1760. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324.
- 1688 69. McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., Garimella,
 1689 K., Altshuler, D., Gabriel, S., Daly, M., et al. (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A
 1690 MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res.
 1691 20, 1297–1303. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.107524.110.
- 70. DePristo, M.A., Banks, E., Poplin, R., Garimella, K.V., Maguire, J.R., Hartl, C., Philippakis,
 A.A., del Angel, G., Rivas, M.A., Hanna, M., et al. (2011). A framework for variation discovery
 and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nat Genet *43*, 491–498.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.806.
- 1696 71. Cibulskis, K., Lawrence, M.S., Carter, S.L., Sivachenko, A., Jaffe, D., Sougnez, C., Gabriel,
 1697 S., Meyerson, M., Lander, E.S., and Getz, G. (2013). Sensitive detection of somatic point
 1698 mutations in impure and heterogeneous cancer samples. Nat Biotechnol *31*, 213–219.
 1699 https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2514.
- 1700 72. McLaren, W., Pritchard, B., Rios, D., Chen, Y., Flicek, P., and Cunningham, F. (2010).
 1701 Deriving the consequences of genomic variants with the Ensembl API and SNP Effect
 1702 Predictor. Bioinformatics 26, 2069–2070. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq330.
- 73. Bi, W.L., Greenwald, N.F., Ramkissoon, S.H., Abedalthagafi, M., Coy, S.M., Ligon, K.L., Mei,
 Y., MacConaill, L., Ducar, M., Min, L., et al. (2017). Clinical Identification of Oncogenic Drivers
 and Copy-Number Alterations in Pituitary Tumors. Endocrinology *158*, 2284–2291.
 https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2016-1967.
- 1707 74. Li, B., Gould, J., Yang, Y., Sarkizova, S., Tabaka, M., Ashenberg, O., Rosen, Y., Slyper, M.,
 1708 Kowalczyk, M.S., Villani, A.-C., et al. (2020). Cumulus provides cloud-based data analysis for
 1709 large-scale single-cell and single-nucleus RNA-seq. Nat Methods 17, 793–798.
 1710 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0905-x.
- 1711 75. Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E., and Satija, R. (2018). Integrating single1712 cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. Nat Biotechnol
 1713 36, 411–420. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4096.
- 1714 76. Weinreb, C., Wolock, S., and Klein, A.M. (2018). SPRING: a kinetic interface for visualizing
 1715 high dimensional single-cell expression data. Bioinformatics *34*, 1246–1248.
 1716 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx792.
- 1717 77. Kotliar, D., Veres, A., Nagy, M.A., Tabrizi, S., Hodis, E., Melton, D.A., and Sabeti, P.C.
 1718 (2019). Identifying gene expression programs of cell-type identity and cellular activity with
 1719 single-cell RNA-Seq. eLife *8*, e43803. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.43803.
- 78. Gavish, A., Tyler, M., Greenwald, A.C., Hoefflin, R., Simkin, D., Tschernichovsky, R., Galili
 Darnell, N., Somech, E., Barbolin, C., Antman, T., et al. (2023). Hallmarks of transcriptional
 intratumour heterogeneity across a thousand tumours. Nature *618*, 598–606.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06130-4.
- 1724 79. Kazer, S.W., Aicher, T.P., Muema, D.M., Carroll, S.L., Ordovas-Montanes, J., Miao, V.N.,
 1725 Tu, A.A., Ziegler, C.G.K., Nyquist, S.K., Wong, E.B., et al. (2020). Integrated single-cell
 1726 analysis of multicellular immune dynamics during hyperacute HIV-1 infection. Nat Med 26,
 1727 511–518. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0799-2.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.06.597767; this version posted June 10, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

- 1728 80. Kuhn, M. (2008). Building Predictive Models in R Using the caret Package. Journal of 1729 Statistical Software 28, 1–26. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v028.i05.
- 1730 81. Wright, M.N., and Ziegler, A. (2017). ranger: A Fast Implementation of Random Forests for
 1731 High Dimensional Data in C++ and R. Journal of Statistical Software 77, 1–17.
 1732 https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v077.i01.
- 1733 82. Janitza, S., Celik, E., and Boulesteix, A.-L. (2018). A computationally fast variable
 1734 importance test for random forests for high-dimensional data. Advances in Data Analysis and
 1735 Classification *12*, 885–915.
- 1736 83. Meyer, P.E. (2008). Information-Theoretic Variable Selection and Network Inference from1737 Microarray Data. In.
- 1738 84. Badia-i-Mompel, P., Vélez Santiago, J., Braunger, J., Geiss, C., Dimitrov, D., Müller-Dott, S.,
 1739 Taus, P., Dugourd, A., Holland, C.H., Ramirez Flores, R.O., et al. (2022). decoupleR:
 1740 ensemble of computational methods to infer biological activities from omics data.
 1741 Bioinformatics Advances 2, vbac016. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioadv/vbac016.
- 1742 85. Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson,
 1743 M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics *29*,
 1744 15–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.
- 86. Danecek, P., Bonfield, J.K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M.O., Whitwham, A.,
 Keane, T., McCarthy, S.A., Davies, R.M., et al. (2021). Twelve years of SAMtools and
 BCFtools. GigaScience *10*, giab008. https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008.
- 1748 87. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis,
 1749 G., Durbin, R., and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The Sequence
 1750 Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25, 2078–2079.
 1751 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.
- 1752 88. Patel, A.P., Tirosh, I., Trombetta, J.J., Shalek, A.K., Gillespie, S.M., Wakimoto, H., Cahill,
 1753 D.P., Nahed, B.V., Curry, W.T., Martuza, R.L., et al. (2014). Single-cell RNA-seq highlights
 1754 intratumoral heterogeneity in primary glioblastoma. Science 344, 1396–1401.
 1755 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1254257.
- 1756 89. Tirosh, I., Izar, B., Prakadan, S.M., Wadsworth, M.H., Treacy, D., Trombetta, J.J., Rotem,
 1757 A., Rodman, C., Lian, C., Murphy, G., et al. (2016). Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of
 1758 metastatic melanoma by single-cell RNA-seq. Science 352, 189–196.
 1759 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad0501.
- 90. Graham, S.M., Vass, J.K., Holyoake, T.L., and Graham, G.J. (2007). Transcriptional Analysis
 of Quiescent and Proliferating CD34+ Human Hemopoietic Cells from Normal and Chronic
 Myeloid Leukemia Sources. Stem Cells 25, 3111–3120.
 https://doi.org/10.1634/stemcells.2007-0250.